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The New Zealand Youth Team who were successful in their match with Australia earlier this year

## Website Workshop

## Wednesday 7 pm

This will be held at the end of the dining room where the AGM was held.
Time to show you features on Tournaments, Club admin and Masterpoints.
Bring a beer (or two) and your questions for Alister Stuck and Karen Martelletti.

Today's Schedule
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { NZ Open Pairs (Finals) } & 9.30 & 1415\end{array}$ Bach to the Future Teams 10001415 Goulash Pairs (Walk-in)

- National Rubber Bridge (F) bridgenz

JLT

## NZ

Bridge
Foundation

The Bath Coup is a very common manoeuvre．It is a duck－ ing play which enables declarer to keep control of a key suit．The coup dates back to the days of whist and is named after the city of Bath，a hotbed of whist back in the days when everyone in British society played the game．

## Dealer South．N／S Vul．

| ヘ K Q 1095 <br> －K J 2 <br> －J 92 <br> － 73 |  | ヘ 64 <br> －Q 109 <br> －8763 <br> ＊A Q 42 | ค 872 <br> － 76543 <br> － 1054 <br> \＆K 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $W_{S}^{N} E$ |  |
|  |  | ค A J 3 <br> －A 8 <br> －AK Q <br> ＊J 10986 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| － | － | － | 1＊ |
| 14 | 2\％ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West leads the king of spades．If declarer wins immedi－ ately，she will be defeated．Having won the spade she will take the club finesse．East will win the king of clubs and push a spade through the remaining jack doubleton and West will take four spade winners for one down．

See the difference if declarer allows the king of spades to hold the first trick．What is West to do？If she plays a second spade into the ace－jack，declarer gets a second spade winner and still has a secure stopper．If she switch－ es，declarer has control of the other suits．She can concede the club trick quite safely in either case．

Ducking with $\mathrm{AJX}_{\mathrm{X}}$ in this position is the Bath Coup． Although the above is what people normally mean when they refer to a Bath Coup，it would also be possible to duck with ace to three in dummy and jack to three in hand， leaving what is known in the trade as a split tenace．
In the example above，it was easy to see the danger because West had overcalled 1n，but the ducking play would still have been correct even had the opposition not been involved in the auction．It might not prove to be nec－ essary，but the coup cannot do any harm and will still be essential if spades are five－three again－so why take the risk？

Top Score？
I wrote up a board in Saturday＇s second session of the NZ Restricted Open Pairs which I expected to be pretty flat around the room．However，one slightly non－standard action can produce a totally different result on most boards，and that certainly applied to this one．

Board 23．Dealer South．All Vul．
－J 10542
－A Q J 109
－K
－ 97



| West | North <br> Stuckey | East | South <br> Reiss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | $1 ヶ$ |
| Pass | $1 \downarrow$ | $1 \uparrow$ | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

At most tables North became declarer in $4 \vee$ and East led out three rounds of spades to give partner a ruff and hold the contract to ten tricks．Playing in the NZ Restricted Open Pairs，Michael Stuckey introduced some variation by responding $1 \boldsymbol{\sim}$ rather than $1 \boldsymbol{A}$ ，planning to make a responder＇s reverse at his next turn to show a better hand than if he bid $1 \boldsymbol{n}$ followed by $2 v$ ．East quite innocently overcalled 1a and Martin Reiss doubled to show his extra values．Stucky，of course，left it in and，as N／S can make 4＾，this was a huge success for Stuckey and Reiss．

The contract was down three for +800 and what I would suspect to have been a top score for $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ ．

## Brainteaser 3

How many countries can you think of whose name includes only one vowel，but that vowel occurs at least three times？

For example，Malaya would once have been a correct answer but，of course，Malaya is now a part of Malaysia and there is no sovereign nation of that name．


## New Zealand Restricted Open Pairs - Session Three

As we moved into the second half of the competition it was time to take a look at the overnight leaders in the NZ Restricted Open Pairs, Bill Humphrey and Paul CarsonWenmoth, who had a cumulative $7.29 \%$ lead after two sessions.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

| - Q 754 <br> - Q J 43 <br> - 1064 <br> - J 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ^ J 109 <br> - K 1092 <br> - J75 <br> * A97 | W |  | ค 862 <br> - A876 <br> - A932 <br> * K 5 <br> 3 |
|  | $\sim$ $\sim$ | K 3 <br> Q 8 <br> 108643 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bowers | C-Wenmoth | Watkinson | $n$ Humphrey |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 1\% |
| Pass | $1 \checkmark$ | Pass | 2* |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The leaders had a simple auction to 2\&, against which Nicky Bowers led the jack of spades. Bill won the king and led a low club to the jack and king and Joy Watkinson returned the eight of clubs to the ten and ace. Nicky led a second spade, the ten, to Bill's ace and he cashed two more spades for a heart discard then led a diamond to his king and continued with the $\stackrel{Q}{ }$. When the jack did not fall he had two losers there so made nine tricks for +110 .

## Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- AJ 108
- 52
- Q 96
* Q J 42
^K 963
- 109
- K 1074
* A9 6

- 54
- QJ 864
- AJ 52
* 53
- Q 72
- AK73
- 83
\& K 1087

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bowers | C-Wenmoth | Watkinson | Humphrey |
| - | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

This one was a bit more exciting. Joy opened $2 \downarrow$, weak with at least five hearts and a four-card minor, and when that ran round to Paul he doubled. Though he was facing a passed hand and lacked a high intermediate card in hearts, it looked reasonable for Bill to try for a penalty and he duly passed then led the two of spades to the nine and ten. At this point it is not clear to North which minor is held by declarer and which by partner, which is unfortunate because a club switch is far and away the best for the defence, setting up extra winners with which to force declarer so that she loses trump control. Not wishing to risk a club play, Paul instead switched to a heart. Bill won the king and led the queen of spades to the king and ace and Paul continued with a third spade, which Joy ruffed with the jack. She led a low heart next and Bill ducked so dummy's ten won the trick.
Now came the key moment from declarer's point of view. To make the contract she must take a first-round finesse of the jack of diamonds followed by the queen of hearts. This is the point at which the lack of an early club switch hurts the defence, because if South could now play a winning club declarer would be shortened and the contract would be doomed. In the actual situation, South can win the heart and play a club but declarer wins the ace, crosses to the ace of diamonds and draws the last trump, coming to eight tricks for +470 .
All of the above proved to be academic, however, when Joy actually played the king of diamonds before finessing the jack. Bill could win the heart play and lead a club but now declarer had no entry to hand to draw the last trump so had to go down.

## Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& * K 75 \\
& * 872 \\
& \text { • } 97 \\
& * K 8432
\end{aligned}
$$

- Q94
- K 4
- AK 62
* J 1065

- AJ 108
- J 653
- 1083

ค 632

- A Q 109
- Q J 54
* $\mathrm{A} Q$

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bowers | C-Wenmoth | Watkinson | Humphrey |
| - | - | Pass | 1NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Bill opened 1NT and played there on the lead of the ace of diamonds followed by a small spade switch. Something happened on this trick, when Joy won with the jack rather
than the ten. She now returned the ten of diamonds and Bill ducked, which could save a trick if the suit was fivetwo.

On the actual lay-out, Joy continued with the eight to the jack and king and Nicky switched back to spades, leading the nine. Bill called for the king, which lost to the ace, but now the spades were blocked. Joy continued with a third spade to Nicky's queen and Nicky got out with a diamond to Bill's queen. He cashed the ace of clubs then overtook the queen with dummy's king to lead a heart to the queen. That worked out terribly, as the heart lost and Nicky had two clubs to cash. The contract was down three for a very poor N/S score.

## Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

| - Q 863 <br> - K97 <br> - J632 <br> - Q 7 | ค J 10975 <br> - Q 83 <br> - Q 4 <br> * A93 |  | - AK <br> - A 1052 <br> - A1098 <br> - J42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W | E |  |
|  | $\stackrel{4}{*}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 75 \\ & 10865 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Ballard | C-Wenmoth | Curtis | Humphrey |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | 1^ | 1NT | 2* |
| Dble | Pass | 2v | Pass |
| Pass | 3* | All Pas |  |

Paul opened light in third seat and Hattie Curtis overcalled 1NT. Now Bill competed with a non-forcing 2* and Bruce Ballard doubled for take-out. As would most of us, Hattie responded in her major rather than the minor and, as it happens, the favourable breaks mean that nine tricks are possible in both the eight- and the seven-card fit. However, Paul now competed with $3 \AA$, which looks a bit much when vulnerable and could have worked out very badly.

Bruce didn't like any lead very much and chose the queen of clubs. Mindful that the double of 2* had been for take-out, Bill won dummy's ace and led the queen of diamonds, which was allowed to hold - not that it mattered. He continued with a second diamond and this time Hattie won the ace and returned a low club. Bill ran that to dummy's nine and led the jack of spades. Hattie won the ace and played her last club to declarer's king.

Bill got out with a second spade to Hatties' king and she now erred by opening up hearts when a diamond would have forced declarer to ruff and play hearts himself. That set up a trick for declarer so the contract was down only one for -100 .

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

| ค K 3 <br> - AQ9843 <br> - J 8 <br> * K 87 | ^ J 95 <br> - K 102 <br> - K Q 10976 <br> \& J |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W |  | ค Q 1082 <br> - J7 <br> - 5 <br> * A96543 |
|  | $\stackrel{1}{*} 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \\ & 32 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Ballard | C-Wenmoth | Curtis | Humphrey |
| $1 v$ | 2 | Dble | 3. |
| $3 \vee$ | Pass | 4 | All Pass |

When Bill raised to $3 \diamond$, Bruce felt that he had to compete with $3 v$ as he had undisclosed length in the suit. That was fine, as the opposing 3 would have made in comfort, but now Hattie went on to game with very little to spare for her initial double.

Paul led his singleton club round to declarer's king and Bruce played ace and another trump, thinking that preventing opposing ruffs was more important than taking his own ruff in dummy. Paul won the second heart and led a low diamond so Bill won the ace and returned the queen of clubs for a ruff with the ten of hearts. Now Paul cashed the king of diamonds and continued with the queen. He should have known the count in diamonds and that the queen would get ruffed, but a spade switch was not without risk, as declarer could have been 3-6-2-2 with the ace instead of the king of spades, when a third diamond might have worked out better and a spade fatal. However, Bill had intended the $\& \mathrm{Q}$ to be suit preference for spades and a spade switch now would have cashed the ace and therefore beaten the contract. On the diamond continuation, Bruce could ruff, run the trumps then cash clubs and the spade went away; +140 .

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- AQ952
- Q 6
- 74
* K 1086

ค J73

- K 1053
- AJ95
* 74


distinction Hamilton - hotil \& confirince centre -

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ballard | C-Wenmoth | Curtis | Humphrey |
| - | $1 \uparrow$ | Pass | 1 NT |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{~}$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

With a maximum for his initial response, Bill gave false preference to spades in the hope that there might be the same number of tricks available in either contract, when 2A would be the correct matchpoint contract.
Hattie led the two of hearts, which Paul ducked to the king, and Bruce continued with a second heart to the queen. Paul led a club to the queen, took a diamond discard on the ace of hearts, then ran the ten of spades to the king. Hattie could see from the auction that her partner would be able to ruff the third club so played ace then jack for him to do so. Now, however, there was only the ace of diamonds to be lost and Paul made exactly for +110 .
Hattie could have beaten the contract had she led the queen of diamonds through instead of playing ace then jack of clubs. Declarer can be forced each time he gives up a club and so never gets the opportunity to cash the fourth club and is down one.

## Board 10. Dealer East. All Vul.

ค J 83

- K
- 1062
* A 107543
^ Q 1065
- 96
- J 84
* KQ62

- A9 42
- A 102
- AK9753
*     - 

^ K 7

- Q J 87543
- Q
* J 98

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Malthus | C-Wenmoth | Gordon | Humphrey |
| - | - | 1 | $3 \downarrow$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Barbara Gordon opened $1 \diamond$ and Bill pre-empted with $3 \bullet$. When Sherryn Malthus made a negative double, Barbara left it in for penalties, a questionable action given the potential of her hand in diamonds and spades.

Sherryn led the jack of diamonds so Barbara won the king. She cashed the ace of hearts then switched to a low spade and Bill got that wrong, playing low so losing to the queen. Now Sherryn would have done best to revert to diamonds, but actually returned a spade to the ace. Barbara tried to cash the ace of diamonds but that got ruffed and now Bill could draw the missing trumps then play a club. When Sherryn put in the queen he won the ace and conceded a club for down one and -200 , which should still have been a good result for N/S. However, Bill had not noticed that the ten of diamonds was a winner. He
could have thrown his clubs on the $\uparrow \mathrm{J}$ and $\downarrow 10$ and made his contract. It's easily done - probably we have all done it and one time or another. For almost everyone, improving concentration would be the single best way to improve our results.

## Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

| ^AJ954 <br> - Q J 5 <br> - 9 <br> - A 1095 | - 102 <br> - 983 <br> - AK863 <br> * Q 84 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $W_{S}^{N} E$ |  | ค Q 763 <br> - A72 <br> - Q 72 <br> * J 72 |
|  | ค K 8 <br> - K 1064 <br> - J 1054 <br> * K 63 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Malthus | C-Wenmoth | Gordon | Humphrey |
| 14 | 2 | 24 | 3 |
| 34 | All Pass |  |  |

Paul knows no fear - I could not bring myself to overcall on that North hand when vulnerable - but he found his partner with support so they could compete and push E/W to the three level. It wasn't enough to earn them a plus score, however.

Paul cashed the ace of diamonds then switched to a low club to the jack, king and ace. Sherryn ran the queen of hearts, losing to the king, and Bill returned a club, Paul winning the queen and playing a third round. Sherryn won the ten of clubs, crossed to the ace of hearts and led a spade to the jack. When that held she could have cashed the ace of spades and claimed ten tricks but she actually led the thirteenth club and that was ruffed and over-ruffed all round. The king of spades was the fourth defensive trick so Sherryn just made her contract for +140 .

Overall, it looked as though the leaders had ridden their luck and done OK while I was watching them.


Paul Carson-Wenmoth and Bill Humphrey

- JLT


## New Zealand Open Pairs Semi-final - Session 2

For session two of the New Zealand Open Pairs I followed the talented Australian pair of Tony Nunn and Liam Milne for a few rounds.

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.

A A Q 83

- K J 103
- A 3
* A J 2


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunn | Hutton | Milne | Carter |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \downarrow$ | Dble | 1NT(i) | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

(i) Clubs

Tony Hutton and Malcolm Carter bid the N/S cards to an almost hopeless 3NT, against which Liam led the seven of clubs to the king, ducked by Tony Hutton. He ducked again on the club return so Liam won the queen and played a third club to clear the suit, Tony Nunn pitching a heart.
Now declarer tried for a diamond miracle, playing ace and another to the king and, when no such miracle was forthcoming, followed up with a spade off the table,

Wanting to create an entry to his partner's hand, Tony Nunn found the nice play of the jack and, when declarer put in the queen, Liam followed with the ten to confirm that his partner could afford to continue with the unblocking play. Declarer duly cashed the ace of spades and Tony Nunn dropped the king so that, when declarer now got off play with a spade, it was Liam who won it with the nine.
The unblocking plays had worked very nicely and there was a bonus to come. When Liam cashed two club winners and the queen of diamonds, declarer was squeezed out of his spade winner to keep two hearts. Liam therefore could cash the four of spades before leading a heart to his partner's ace at trick 13. the contract was down four for -200 .

Nicely defended.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.
ค 1082

- K 965
- 85
- A843

ค AQ 53

- 10874
- Q
* K Q 109


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunn | Jacob | Milne | Coutts |
| - | Pass | Pass | 1 |
| Dble | $1 \downarrow$ | $1 \uparrow$ | Dble |
| 2 $\uparrow$ | All Pass |  |  |

James Coutts opened 1* as South then made a Support Double to show three hearts. However, Nick Jacob didn't have enough to compete to the three level so Liam became declarer in $2 \boldsymbol{n}$.

James led ace, queen and a third heart, Liam ruffing Nick's nine and leading a club to dummy's king. Nick ducked, not expecting declarer to be so short in the suit, so Liam won the trick and switched his attention to diamonds, running the queen to James' king. Back came a club and Liam got that wrong by putting up the queen. He ruffed Nick's ace and threw dummy's remaining heart on the ace of diamonds then led the nine of diamonds and pitched a club when James did not cover. Nick ruffed and returned the king of hearts and James ruffed that with the seven to force dummy's queen. By now, however, Liam was pretty sure that he had a count of the hand. He led a spade to the king, ruffed the ten of diamonds with the ace, and led dummy's last spade to his jack. That left the seven of diamonds, the beer card, to win the last trick, and no doubt Liam will be claiming his drink from Tony at some point.

I've mentioned the beer card in a couple of articles so, for those who are not familiar with the idea, it is very simple - win the last trick with the seven of diamonds and everyone in on the agreement has to buy you a beer or, at least, a drink of some kind. In the UK, this does not apply if diamonds are trumps as it is considered to be too easy, but my understanding is that those alcoholic neighbours of yours from across the water in Australia do not bother with this distinction as it would reduce the amount of alcohol consumed.

Apart from the chance to win drinks, it can occasionally liven up a dull hand as declarer tries to find the squeeze


Cock \& EuL
to allow the $\forall 7$ to win the last trick rather than simply cash out his other winners. Also, defence becomes more interesting as, given the option of two ways to allow declarer an extra trick, it would cost you a beer to allow him to win the beer card rather than a trick in a different suit at trick 13. And woe betide anyone who drops a trick in an attempt to win the beer card or defend against it; now it is he who must buy the drinks.

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

ค. 86

- K Q 873
- 764
\& A 64


ค AJ 107

- A9
- AJ 109
* Q 83

ค K Q 5

- J 102
- q 3
* J 10752

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunn | Jacob | Milne | Coutts |
| - | - | 1NT | All Pass |

Liam opened 1NT, against which James led a low club. The nine would have been the winning play from dummy on this lay-out but Liam called for the king and that lost to the ace. Nick returned a club, ducked to the ten, and James played a third round to clear the suit. Liam had a number of possible plays in spades and diamonds and eventually chose to lay down the ace of spades to see if anything good happened - it didn't. Having tried the spades, he now switched his attention to diamonds, leading the nine to the king and a second diamond back to the jack.
It looks right to play the hand with the greater club length for the greater diamond length and, indeed, James was shorter in diamonds. Alas for Liam, he had the doubleton queen and could now cash four black winners for down one and -50 to Liam and Tony.

Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
ค Q 92

- AK Q 10532
- 9
- 64
- 106
- 764
- KJ75
* Q 853


ค J 754

- J
- 108632
\& AK 10

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunn | J.McMahon | Milne | C. McMahon |
| - | $4 \downarrow$ | Dble | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{j}$ | All Pass |

John McMahon opened $4 \checkmark$ and Liam doubled for takeout. The winning action would have been for Tony to pass the double, a spade ruff leading to down one, but that was not an obvious choice and he in practice bid 4NT to ask Liam to pick a minor. When he did so, Charles McMahon should have doubled. True, there is no certainty of three tricks on defence to $5 \%$ doubled, but the contract will be going down far more often than not and it is necessary to take a long-term view in these situations.

On the actual deal, Charles led his heart and John overtook then played two more top hearts. Liam ruffed with the nine so Charles could over-ruff with the ten and there were still the top clubs to come; down three for -150 .

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | $\uparrow$ A <br> - K <br> - Q <br> * Q | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } 2 \\ & 972 \\ & 982 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Q7 <br> - 9873 <br> - 643 <br> * 7653 |  |  | ค K J 109 <br> - AJ 1065 <br> - K 108 <br> * K |
|  | - 8 <br> - 4 <br> - A $\therefore \mathrm{A}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 432 \\ & 5 \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Nunn | J. McMahon | Milne | C. McMahon |
| - | - | $1 \vee$ | Dble |
| 2v | 3NT | All P |  |

When Charles made a thin but normal take-out double, Tony showed a weak $2 v$ raise and John could find no sensible alternative to a jump to 3 NT , Looking at 15 HCP and


Tony Nunn
facing a vulnerable raise, albeit a weak one by agreement, Liam doubled and led the jack of hearts.

John won the king of hearts and led the queen of clubs to the king and ace then cashed out the clubs, ending in hand. Liam threw two hearts and a spade, and now John led a diamond to the jack and, when that held the trick, continued with ace and a third diamond. Liam won the king and led a spade but John had the ace and the long diamond was his ninth trick for +550 .

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


Pre-empts cause problems, sometimes for the preempter's partner, but more often for the opposition. E/W have a choice of excellent slams on this deal, the best being 6NT played by East, yet slam was never in the picture after Phillip Hensman's multi $2 *$ opening. Liam doubled, the way to start all big hands and some moderate ones, and Francis Sheehy bid $2 v$, pass or correct. Tony showed some values with clubs then raised the forcing $3 v$ response. Despite his 21 HCP , Liam did not fancy bidding on and the excellent slams were missed.


Liam Milne

Ah, you say, but E/W were lucky because had they reached $6 v$, Phillip could have made a Lightner double and received a club ruff to beat the contract. Maybe, but I suspect that the reason for the double would be clear and E/W might manage to run to the unbreakable 6 NT .

At the table, Francis led the queen of diamonds to her partner's ace so Liam chalked up a painless +680 .

## Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | ^ 875 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark 65$ |  |  |
|  | - K Q 76 |  |  |
|  | * K843 |  |  |
| A. J 109 | N |  | ค AK632 |
| - 1092 | W E |  | - KQ 6 |
| - A985 | S |  | - 102 |
| * 1095 |  |  | * AJ 6 |
|  | ヘ Q 4 |  |  |
|  | - AJ743 |  |  |
|  | - J 43 |  |  |
|  | * Q 72 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Nunn | Hensman | Milne | Sheehy |
| - | - | 1/n | Pass |
| 2^ | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

Liam opened a five-card 1a then made a natural and nonforcing try for game of 2 NT . Looking at a minimum raise, and with no ruffing value, Tony passed out 2NT.

Francis led a low heart, as who would not? Liam put up dummy's ten and, when that scored, ran the nine of spades to the queen. Now Francis tried a low club for the king and ace and Liam played the king of hearts. That established his eighth trick but at the same time gave Francis the opportunity to win and set up two extra heart tricks for herself. All of that meant that the contract was just made for +120 .

## Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.



When I started to play the game actions like Russell Wilson's, opening at the three level with only a six-card suit and with a four-card major on the side, would have been frowned upon.
Today, $3-$ looks like the mainstream action, and a substantial proportion of the expert community would choose it. As suggested above in a very different situation, it is correct to take a long-term view and to accept the odd stupid result as the price we pay to put pressure on the opposition.
After two passes, Liam jumped to 4a and played there. Russell cashed the ace of diamonds, took a look at dummy and, not liking what he saw, switched to the jack of spades. Liam won that, cashed a second spade and one top club, the king as he might play with a bare king, then gave up the spade. Alister Stuck had no real option but to cash the ace of hearts, whatever was going on in clubs, so did so and Liam promptly claimed the rest for +620 .

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- K 4
- J 9
- QJ 876
* A Q 76

A A 10

- K 10543
- K 4
* 8432


ค Q J 8765

- Q 76
- A92
- 5

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nunn | Stuck | Milne | Wilson |
| Pass | 1 | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \star$ | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

Alister and Russell had an uncontested auction to a pretty poor game. The explanation for getting to $4 \pi$ was that they had a bidding misunderstanding.
'Was 3 forcing?'
'Yes', said Alister.
'Invitational', said Russell.
Been there, done that, got the T-shirt, as have most of us. And in my case in this precise sequence not too long ago.
Time for Superman, in the guise of Tony Nunn, to fly to the rescue. Tony tried a cunning four of diamonds lead, hoping to scare declarer out of taking a winning diamond finesse. Not this time. Russell won dummys' queen and played the king of spades, which Tony won. He returned a low heart, Liam winning the ace and returning a trump. Russell won the $\wedge \mathrm{Q}$, drew the last trump, then took the club finesse, thinking that Liam must have the diamonds and that there would be a diamond loser unless he could get rid of it on the ace of clubs.

Perfectly sound reasoning, but if Russell had cashed the ace of diamonds first he would have had a rather pleasant surprise and not just made his contract but done so with an overtrick. How many of us would have even thought of the possibility that the opening lead was from king-doubleton?
Anyway, quite an entertaining run of boards, I thought.

## Arthur Bennett Book

Arthur Bennett has written and published a book on popular New Zealand linguistics. Joe Bennett from The Dominion, Poet Laureate, Karl Stead, and Professor Randolph Quirk, all recommend it.
There is arange of fascinating topics including, contentiously, the demise of Te Reo. There is even a chapter on the language of bridge.
This is not a bridge book, but a proportion of the purchase price will go to New Zealand Youth Bridge.
The book is available at Richard's bookstall.

pianola

## Pianola: a new feature for Congress 2017

This year's congress features Pianola, a service that you might have seen at your local club or another congress (eg Gold Coast). Pianola provides players with analysis of their bidding and card play, plus the ability to replay hands with card-by-card guidance.

For each board, Pianola compares the bid at your table with double dummy - the theoretical maximum tricks available for each side - and identifies whether you underbid, overbid, doubled incorrectly, failed to double, or (hopefully!) bid the optimal contract. In assessing your bids it takes into account any profitable sacrifice that is available.

However, double dummy is an artificial construct as it's the number of tricks possible when you have sight of all four hands. Therefore, Pianola compares your bidding characteristics with the best in field (i.e. the players finishing in the top third) and it's this comparison that provides useful information. Typically we find that the best-in field are bidding only $40-60 \%$ of the boards "correctly" and you want to be emulating their bidding.

Regardless of whether the hand was played in an optimal contract, Pianola also assesses whether your side made all the tricks you were entitled to or whether you were giving gifts to your opponents. Very often you'll find that your ability to play the cards has far greater influence on the final result than the accuracy of the bidding.

Pianola will also let you replay any hand and give you card-by-card guidance about how to achieve the most tricks. This feature is similar to the well-respectedcomputer program, Deep Finesse. The difference with Pianola Replay is that it works on any device - Mac, PC, iPad, phone - and will automatically know what was the contract at your table.

Look out for an email with instructions about how to access Pianola. If you don't receive any emails, please contact James Ward, Pianola's Founder, who will be on site every day during the congress. (If you sign up to receive your results by email after each session and you'll be entered into a free prize draw to win $\$ 50$ to spend at the book stall.)

Visit www.pianola.net, email james@pianola.net, or call 048893195 for more information about Pianola. If you want Pianola at your own club, they offer a 90 day free trial. Contact James for more information.


## Brainteaser Solution

## Madagascar contains four As.

Countries with three of the same vowel are:
Bahamas, Canada, Comoros, Greece, Kazakhstan, Kosovo, Morocco, Panama, Seychelles.
Kosovo is slightly debatable, as not everyone recognises it as a sovereign country. At a stretch, you could add Solomons, though the normal name is The Solomon Islands.

Cock \& Bul


## New Zealand Open Pairs Semi-final Rankings

|  | y |
| :---: | :---: |
| SHANE HARRISON - ELLA PATTISON | 58.36 |
| ZU QIANG TAN - WATSON ZHOU | 62.50 |
| JUNE LEI - XIAO PING BAO | 63.39 |
| MICHAEL COURTNEY - ROSIE DON | 66.76 |
| SHIYU HUO - JUN CHEN | 55.38 |
| GARY CHEN - RICHARD SOLOMON | 60.67 |
| MARTIN LOFGREN - JAN CORMACK | 59.16 |
| TONY NUNN - LIAM MILNE | 55.73 |
| GEO TISLEVOLL - MICHAEL WARE | 53.64 |
| DEE HARLEY - ROBBIE VAN RIEL | 60.58 |
| CAROL RICHARDSON - ANDI BOUGHEY | 56.38 |
| FRASER REW - BRAD COLES | 57.50 |
| BRIAN MACE - TOM JACOB | 57.62 |
| ANDREW LIU - HERMAN YUAN | 58.58 |
| PATRICK CARTER - JULIE ATKINSON | 54.72 |
| RUSSELL DIVE - MOSS WYLIE | 57.65 |
| BRETT GLASS - GARY FOIDL | 55.40 |
| JOHN PATTERSON - MURAT GENC | 50.15 |
| MICHAEL WHIBLEY - MATT BROWN | 54.54 |
| GEORGE MASTERS - BLAIR FISHER | 53.64 |
| STEVE BARON - CARLOS PELLEGRINI | 57.49 |
| JONATHAN WESTOBY - GRANT JARVIS | 56.76 |
| ANDREW TARBUTT - STEVE BOUGHEY | 53.71 |
| MICHAEL CORNELL - ASHLEY BACH | 58.10 |
| SAMUEL COUTTS - GLENN COUTTS | 56.98 |
| NEIL STUCKEY - BARRY PALMER | 49.58 |
| BARRY JONES - JENNY MILLINGTON | 65.22 |
| JOHN LUONI - MURRAY JURGELEIT | 54.85 |
| EILEEN HORSMAN - BOB GROVER | 50.49 |
| ALAN GRANT - ANTHONY KER | 58.45 |
| LINDA CARTNER - GLENIS PALMER | 53.81 |
| TONY OBERDRIES - MAURICE CARTER | 56.01 |
| MARY BUCKLAND - TRISH DOWNWARD | 53.89 |
| JOHN WANG - JETER LIU | 55.05 |
| LIZ HAVAS - BEVERLEY STACEY | 51.14 |
| VANESSA BROWN - WILLIAM J-O'SHEA | 51.57 |
| EVELYN HURLEY - BOB HURLEY | 50.76 |
| NICK JACOB - JAMES COUTTS | 53.50 |
| PATRICK D'ARCY - GRAEME NORMAN | 50.58 |
| ADRIAN ABRAHAM - BRUCE BATCHELOR | 50.93 |
| GRAEME STOUT - JEFF MILLER | 56.10 |
| MARTIN BLOOM - PETER GILL | 51.75 |
| KIERAN CROWE-MAI - COLIN BAKER | 54.04 |
| GINA HSU - ALAN CURRIE | 51.15 |
| JULIAN FOSTER - JENNA GIBBONS | 53.21 |
| ALISTER STUCK - RUSSELL WILSON | 52.73 |
| JOHN MCINTOSH - ALICE YOUNG | 56.96 |
| KATHY YULE - KENNETH YULE | 51.74 |
| SUZIE \& ANDREW BRAITHWAITE | 53.59 |
| JOHN SKIPPER - DAVID SKIPPER | 53.86 |
| DOUGLAS RUSSELL - CHRIS ACKERLEY | 54.03 |
| CLAIR MIAO - BRUCE MARR | 51.49 |
| PAULA MCLEISH - DAVID MCLEISH | 52.40 |
| ANTHONY HUTTON - MALCOLM CART |  |

Carry Fwd 58.36 62.50 63.39 55.38 60.67 59.16 53.64 60.58 56.38 57.62 58.58 57.65 55.40 50.15 54.54 5.49 5.71 58.10 9.58 65.22 50.49 58.45 3.81 53.89 55.05 51.14 0.76 53.50 PATRICK D'ARCY - GRAEME NORMAN 50.58 ADRIAN ABRAHAM - BRUCE BATCHELOR50.93 GRAEME STOUT - JEFF MILLER 56.10 KIERAN CROWE-MAI - COLIN BAKER 54.04 GINA HSU - ALAN CURRIE ULIAN FOSTER - JENNA GIBBONS 53.21 52.73 56.96 3.59 53.86 54.03 51.49 AULA MCLEISH - DAVID MCLEISH $\quad 52.40$

| Session 1 | Session 2 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 63.21 | 61.63 | 183.20 |
| 54.30 | 62.47 | 179.27 |
| 58.86 | 53.51 | 175.75 |
| 56.12 | 52.18 | 175.06 |
| 55.31 | 63.58 | 174.26 |
| 53.54 | 59.71 | 173.92 |
| 54.71 | 56.55 | 170.41 |
| 52.58 | 62.00 | 170.31 |
| 62.85 | 53.73 | 170.23 |
| 50.00 | 56.59 | 167.17 |
| 52.58 | 58.04 | 167.00 |
| 55.11 | 54.09 | 166.71 |
| 63.16 | 45.45 | 166.22 |
| 55.06 | 51.79 | 165.43 |
| 51.52 | 58.73 | 164.97 |
| 54.15 | 52.97 | 164.76 |
| 48.53 | 60.82 | 164.74 |
| 58.60 | 55.59 | 164.34 |
| 56.98 | 52.61 | 164.13 |
| 60.53 | 49.90 | 164.07 |
| 49.29 | 57.28 | 164.06 |
| 55.87 | 50.65 | 163.27 |
| 52.83 | 56.25 | 162.80 |
| 56.58 | 47.52 | 162.20 |
| 44.33 | 59.96 | 161.27 |
| 56.48 | 54.18 | 160.24 |
| 46.96 | 47.99 | 160.17 |
| 53.14 | 51.56 | 159.54 |
| 53.74 | 53.86 | 158.09 |
| 47.22 | 51.53 | 157.20 |
| 50.30 | 51.99 | 156.09 |
| 45.60 | 53.63 | 155.23 |
| 50.05 | 50.60 | 154.54 |
| 50.86 | 48.37 | 154.28 |
| 49.54 | 52.86 | 153.54 |
| 55.31 | 46.44 | 153.32 |
| 53.59 | 48.32 | 152.66 |
| 44.69 | 54.42 | 152.60 |
| 49.65 | 52.35 | 152.57 |
| 52.78 | 48.40 | 152.11 |
| 51.16 | 44.44 | 151.70 |
| 49.90 | 49.68 | 151.33 |
| 57.64 | 39.64 | 151.32 |
| 51.52 | 48.62 | 151.29 |
| 51.57 | 46.16 | 150.93 |
| 54.71 | 42.82 | 150.25 |
| 44.69 | 47.95 | 149.59 |
| 47.27 | 50.45 | 149.46 |
| 43.37 | 52.38 | 149.34 |
| 43.88 | 49.53 | 149.00 |
| 45.45 | 46.34 |  |
| 51.01 | 46.41 |  |
| 48.33 | 53 |  |

NOEL WOODHALL - IAN CLAYTON 51.90 51.32

CAROL DE LUCA - BEV HENTON JOHN KRUINIGER - FIONA TEMPLE PHILLIP HENSMAN - FRANCES SHEEHY OWEN CAMP - ANISIA SHAMI
PETER BENHAM - BRIAN CLEAVER ADRIENNE KELLY - VERNA BROOKES

## MARILYN JACKSON - GREG BUZZARD

 ANDREW JANISZ - LYNNE GEURSENALAN TURNER - STEPHEN FRANCKS 54.45

CHARLES MCMAHON - JOHN MCMAHON
LES GOULD - PIETER VERHOEK 50.22 JAMIE THOMPSON - BRADLEY JOHNSTON55.20
REX BENSON - GARY HANNA 49.54
KATE TERRY - JUDY PAWSON 53.38
CHERYL WINSOR - SUSAN ROWE 51.30
PAULA GREGORY - MICH'L JOHNSTONE
52.50

MAX MORRISON - PAVLA FENWICK
53.50

PAMELA DRA'ZKI - REBECCA OSBORNE
DEAN SOLE - WARREN JOHNSON
53.17 51.60

MARTIN OYSTON - PAT OYSTON 51.73
JERRY CHEN - DONG GAO BI 53.24

| 49.75 | 46.34 | 147.98 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 50.61 | 45.87 | 147.80 |
| 50.81 | 46.31 | 147.60 |
| 46.81 | 49.02 | 147.20 |
| 46.76 | 46.76 | 146.53 |
| 44.89 | 44.19 | 146.01 |
| 41.70 | 45.61 | 145.86 |
| 44.08 | 50.09 | 145.62 |
| 49.95 | 41.51 | 145.42 |
| 40.44 | 50.40 | 145.28 |
| 47.17 | 47.68 | 145.21 |
| 44.74 | 49.90 | 144.73 |
| 50.81 | 43.19 | 144.21 |
| 38.92 | 50.08 | 144.19 |
| 52.18 | 41.61 | 143.33 |
| 37.20 | 49.89 | 140.47 |
| 52.63 | 36.02 | 139.95 |
| 46.00 | 41.07 | 139.58 |
| 46.86 | 36.95 | 137.31 |
| 44.94 | 38.76 | 136.86 |
| 38.61 | 46.27 | 136.46 |
| 40.38 | 43.99 | 135.97 |
| 42.86 | 39.78 | 134.37 |
| 27.48 | 42.50 | 123.21 |

## Congress Pairs Rankings

ANGELA DOUGALL - KEVIN DOUGALL SITA MONAGHAN - DAVID COOK
COLIN CARRYER - SANDRA CALVERT KATE DAVIES - ANNE SOMERVILLE JOHANNA PERFECT - HAMISH BROWN
ROGER MINCHIN - CAROL MINCHIN
LORRAINE STACHURSKI - MINDY WU
KAREN HARRIS - ELIZABETH FISHER
JANE MORRIS - TOBY GORDON
HANK PING - KEVIN FAN
SUE INGHAM - TERRY BROWN
BEVERLEY MORRIS - ALLAN MORRIS ALAN HAMILTON - JUNE GLENN
SETSUKO LICHTNECKER - PEER BACH
BOB SEBESFI - RICHARD DOUGLAS
BILL NASH - JIM WALLIS
JAMES YANG - YUZHONG CHEN
PETER MCCASKILL - JEFF THOMPSON
MARY-ELLEN NEWTON - DALLAS DAGG
HARRY SHEPHERD - TONY WINTERS
MURRAY WOOD - RACHELLE PELKMAN
DAVID MCRAE - FERN MCRAE
STEVE GRAY - LINDSEY GUY
RICHARD LAPTHORNE - NEIL HAWKINS
LYNDA RIGLER - PETER DELAHUNTY
CAROLYN YEOMANS - JAN WHYTE
LUKE KELLY - CHRIS BOLLAND
ROBYN \& DON NIGHTINGALE
LESLEY ANDREW - KAY NICHOLAS
JOHN DRISCOLL - RONA DRISCOLL


| Session 1 | Session 2 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 60.10 | 62.42 | 122.51 |
| 62.87 | 56.36 | 119.23 |
| 53.86 | 63.30 | 117.16 |
| 59.88 | 57.06 | 116.94 |
| 51.64 | 63.41 | 115.04 |
| 57.01 | 57.91 | 114.92 |
| 55.23 | 59.37 | 114.60 |
| 52.76 | 61.10 | 113.86 |
| 55.93 | 57.11 | 113.04 |
| 55.20 | 56.77 | 111.97 |
| 57.14 | 54.01 | 111.15 |
| 56.03 | 54.33 | 110.36 |
| 53.08 | 57.08 | 110.17 |
| 55.88 | 53.09 | 108.97 |
| 57.19 | 51.20 | 108.39 |
| 48.99 | 59.23 | 108.22 |
| 52.67 | 55.47 | 108.14 |
| 55.98 | 50.83 | 106.82 |
| 55.20 | 51.53 | 106.73 |
| 45.19 | 61.29 | 106.49 |
| 52.64 | 53.28 | 105.91 |
| 50.38 | 54.88 | 105.25 |
| 54.90 | 50.25 | 105.15 |
| 53.64 | 51.42 | 105.06 |
| 54.81 | 50.08 | 104.88 |
| 50.30 | 53.90 | 104.20 |
| 52.03 | 52.12 | 104.14 |
| 48.66 | 55.43 | 104.09 |
| 56.46 | 47.31 | 103.78 |
| 52.60 | 51.16 | 103.76 |

Coct \& BuL


66 ALAN DODDRIDGE - JENNY WILSON
67 LORRAINE MCARTHUR - ELEANOR MOREL
68 GRAHAM STERN - ALAN PARKES
69 JAN DAVIS - LORRAINE LINDSAY
70 DORIS CHAPMAN - GEOFF NORRIS
71 JILL CHURCH - RHONDDA SWEETMAN
72 BOB LAWRENCE - ANN WOODHEAD
73 GLENYS DEAN - ROB ARMSTRONG
74 ANNE M MCGREGOR - ERNIE SUTTON
VAL GARDINER - ANTHONY HOPKINS
PARIS TU CANR - IAN BRASH - MICHAEL CURRY
SANDY REGAN - DEREK EVENNETT
SUE SPENCER - BEV GUILFORD
ANN BAKER - HELENA DAWSON
LYNETTE MORGAN - IAN BOND

TRACEY LEWIS - MARUTA BOYD

TOM WINIATA - TOM HENWOOD
TRUDY LANGE - ROSEMARY MATSKOWS
TIMOTHY SCHUMACHER - JOHN DAVIDSON

BRIAN GALLAHER - BRIAN SULLIVAN
GYTIS DANTA - JOHN STACEY
TIMOTHY HEALY - GARY DUNCAN
PAUL HANGARTNER - MARK HANGARTNER
AMANDA SMITH - MICHELLE ENGLAND

CAROLYN WOOD - ROBIN YOUNG

GEOFF OLSEN - STEPHEN BROOKES
BRUCE INGLIS - PETER HENSMAN
JUDY MCLEOD - KAREN MARTELLETTI

CHRISTINE CHOWCHUVECH - N RUSSELL THOMSON
RODNEY HARRIS - LUCIE ARMSTRONG
DEBBIE COOPER - ALAN GEARE

SUSAN LAURENSON - JENNY DELANY
LEE WALTERS - PATSY WALTERS
ALAN DICK - HEINI LUX

| 50.61 | 52.97 | 103.58 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 55.66 | 47.24 | 102.90 |
| 46.37 | 55.61 | 101.98 |
| 59.08 | 42.86 | 101.94 |
| 53.47 | 48.42 | 101.89 |
| 51.07 | 50.41 | 101.48 |
| 49.31 | 51.46 | 100.77 |
| 50.85 | 49.34 | 100.19 |
| 56.57 | 43.47 | 100.04 |
| 48.56 | 51.16 | 99.72 |
| 49.29 | 49.53 | 98.82 |
| 47.92 | 50.76 | 98.67 |
| 50.27 | 48.34 | 98.61 |
| 43.97 | 54.41 | 98.38 |
| 46.69 | 51.65 | 98.34 |
| 41.72 | 56.43 | 98.14 |
| 55.02 | 43.03 | 98.06 |
| 52.27 | 45.69 | 97.97 |
| 44.46 | 52.77 | 97.23 |
| 40.22 | 56.38 | 96.60 |
| 47.54 | 48.79 | 96.33 |
| 54.27 | 41.30 | 95.58 |
| 46.02 | 49.23 | 95.25 |
| 48.51 | 44.52 | 93.02 |
| 42.15 | 49.76 | 91.91 |
| 42.54 | 49.31 | 91.85 |
| 44.71 | 46.67 | 91.38 |
| 40.14 | 50.66 | 90.79 |
| 46.92 | 43.73 | 90.64 |
| 48.06 | 42.23 | 90.30 |
| 48.43 | 41.41 | 89.84 |
| 43.13 | 45.78 | 88.91 |
| 42.74 | 45.21 | 87.95 |
| 45.57 | 42.26 | 87.83 |
| 41.95 | 44.95 | 86.90 |
| 48.45 | 38.34 | 86.79 |
| 47.11 | 39.49 | 86.61 |
| 44.23 | 42.11 | 86.34 |
| 39.00 | 46.85 | 85.84 |
| 43.34 | 41.54 | 84.89 |
| 41.26 | 40.28 | 81.54 |
| 47.15 | 33.33 | 80.48 |
| 43.64 | 33.08 | 76.72 |
| 41.50 | 34.56 | 76.06 |

## New Zealand Restricted Open Pairs Final Rankings

47.24 102.90
55.61 101.98 . 8 101.89
50.41
101.48
49.34
100.19
43.4 99.72
49.53 98.82
50.76 98.67
$54.41 \quad 98.38$
51.65
98.34
$45.69 \quad 97.97$
56.38
96.60
48.79 95.58
$44.52 \quad 93.02$
49.31
91.85
$50.66 \quad 90.79$
46.92
48.06
43.13
42.74
45.57
48.45
47.1
39.00
43.34
41.26
43.64

```
CHRIS MARSHALL - JAMES THOMPSON
MICHAEL WILCOX - LIZ WILCOX
BILL HUMPHREY - PAUL CARSON-WENMOTH
JULIA ZHU - TONY JIANG
MAX ROBB - PAUL TOMLINSON
ANNA KALMA - JACOB KALMA
DIANE EMMS - JANE STEARNS
```

| Session 1 |  | S 2 | S 3 | S 4 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57.72 | 61.67 | 62.90 | 58.89 |  | 241.18 |
| 54.20 | 56.17 | 60.00 | 63.41 |  | 233.78 |
| 60.99 | 65.68 | 55.86 | 50.74 |  | 233.27 |
| 59.26 | 54.81 | 60.00 | 57.18 |  | 231.26 |
| 58.64 | 56.73 | 57.35 | 55.84 |  | 228.56 |
| 46.79 | 57.96 | 61.60 | 61.63 |  | 227.99 |
| 52.35 | 59.20 | 53.33 | 62.29 |  | 227.16 |

50 SHIRLEY LATTIMORE - DIANE GROOM
51 BEV GAY - MARY PENINGTON
52 BOB TRELOAR - JUDY COULSHED
53 BARBARA GORDON - SHERRYN MALTHUS
54 LAURA GRIFFIN - WAYNE SMITH
55 BARBARA CAMPBELL - ANNE SHEARER
56 ALAN BLACKIE - ANN BLACKIE
57 ALAN WALPOLE - TERRI-ANN SCORER
58 OLIVE DENT - LEN DENT
59 CHRISTINE YUKICH - SUE GIBBONS
60 SUE BROWN - SALLY YEOMAN
61 JENNY POMEROY - JENNY COOPER
62 ANN SMITH - CHRIS SUTTON

| 57.90 | 58.27 | 49.14 | 57.36 | 222.66 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 53.89 | 63.46 | 51.17 | 53.59 | 222.11 |
| 55.43 | 48.89 | 55.37 | 59.95 | 219.64 |
| 47.96 | 51.67 | 61.73 | 56.70 | 218.06 |
| 49.20 | 51.42 | 60.99 | 56.37 | 217.98 |
| 50.80 | 57.47 | 55.25 | 52.81 | 216.33 |
| 51.05 | 52.72 | 56.79 | 55.44 | 215.99 |
| 58.46 | 53.02 | 47.22 | 56.90 | 215.60 |
| 59.75 | 42.10 | 56.30 | 55.28 | 213.43 |
| 52.65 | 48.89 | 56.36 | 55.26 | 213.16 |
| 50.25 | 55.12 | 59.26 | 48.45 | 213.08 |
| 56.73 | 41.98 | 62.53 | 51.70 | 212.94 |
| 61.11 | 52.90 | 44.07 | 51.21 | 209.30 |
| 48.52 | 54.57 | 49.07 | 56.53 | 208.69 |
| 55.31 | 47.72 | 53.77 | 51.68 | 208.47 |
| 50.49 | 54.38 | 46.48 | 56.43 | 207.79 |
| 52.22 | 53.21 | 53.58 | 48.08 | 207.09 |
| 49.94 | 44.63 | 56.79 | 54.87 | 206.23 |
| 58.02 | 49.44 | 50.37 | 48.30 | 206.14 |
| 49.57 | 51.23 | 53.33 | 50.70 | 204.84 |
| 42.22 | 57.16 | 49.14 | 55.13 | 203.65 |
| 47.35 | 49.94 | 54.57 | 51.29 | 203.14 |
| 52.53 | 43.83 | 47.04 | 59.47 | 202.86 |
| 42.35 | 52.35 | 48.89 | 58.36 | 201.94 |
| 4.04 | 56.05 | 54.20 | 43.12 | 200.41 |
| 43.52 | 61.67 | 50.49 | 43.98 | 199.66 |
| 49.44 | 54.20 | 50.99 | 43.82 | 198.45 |
| 49.01 | 43.15 | 53.52 | 50.14 | 195.82 |
| 43.77 | 52.90 | 46.42 | 51.23 | 194.32 |
| 50.06 | 42.10 | 51.91 | 50.06 | 194.13 |
| 46.60 | 43.64 | 57.35 | 45.79 | 193.38 |
| 49.51 | 47.47 | 50.43 | 45.89 | 193.29 |
| 47.28 | 55.68 | 41.67 | 48.65 | 193.28 |
| 48.89 | 47.10 | 48.40 | 46.79 | 191.18 |
| 52.10 | 49.38 | 47.53 | 41.14 | 190.15 |
| 54.26 | 46.79 | 44.81 | 43.97 | 189.83 |
| 42.65 | 56.23 | 49.69 | 40.49 | 189.07 |
| 52.96 | 45.99 | 49.14 | 38.94 | 187.03 |
| 51.79 | 43.02 | 41.23 | 50.67 | 186.72 |
| 43.46 | 44.88 | 40.93 | 55.51 | 184.77 |
| 57.16 | 42.78 | 46.73 | 36.77 | 183.44 |
| 47.53 | 43.09 | 50.86 | 41.04 | 182.52 |
| 38.77 | 44.81 | 44.81 | 53.71 | 182.10 |
| 51.54 | 41.05 | 48.02 | 39.41 | 180.03 |
| 42.47 | 55.43 | 32.28 | 49.43 | 179.62 |
| 47.84 | 48.58 | 39.88 | 42.78 | 179.08 |
| 51.73 | 41.11 | 44.20 | 40.98 | 178.01 |
| 46.79 | 36.48 | 44.94 | 47.84 | 176.05 |
| 46.98 | 40.56 | 46.60 | 41.88 | 176.01 |
| 42.78 | 45.93 | 40.86 | 45.43 | 175.00 |
| 44.38 | 42.78 | 41.11 | 46.65 | 174.92 |
| 43.40 | 52.59 | 35.62 | 45.96 | 172.57 |
| 43.40 | 37.16 | 43.33 | 38.09 | 43.60 |
| 171.59 |  |  |  |  |
| 167.98 | 39.88 | 27.25 | 145.27 |  |



Cock \& BuL

distinction Hamilton - hoth \& contrincticentri.

NEW ZEALAND WINE
SINCE 1916

FAMILY ESTATES:

## Headwaters Organic Grn er Veltliner 2016

Babich de $\sqsubset$ eloped the first ineyard to achie $\sqsubset e$ sustainable registration in New e aland. With our ongoing commitment to protect the en ironment through only implementing sustainable practices in all our ineyards, it was a logical progression to mo $\mathbb{e}$ to the ne $\dagger$ step and in $\llbracket$ estigate the benefits of an organic approach to liticulture.


INFORMATION

| $\square$ rape $\square$ arieties | Grn er e Itliner |
| :---: | :---: |
| Region | Marlborough |
| Sub region | Wairua $\square$ alley |
| $\square$ ineyards | ead waters |
| ar est method | Machine |
| ar est date | $\square^{\text {st }}$ April $\square \square$ |
| ar est Analysis | [1. Bri $\square \mathrm{p}$ W . $\square, \mathrm{TA} \square . \square \mathrm{g} \square$ |
| Processing | th ours skin soaking |
| Fermentation essel | Tank and old oak barrels |
| Fermentation | $\square$ rganic appro ed yeast |
| Malolactic | No |
| Maturation | m onths |
| Fining | $\square$ rganic skim milk |
| Filtration | $\square \mathrm{es}$ | EXCELLENCE //iroug /iexPERIENGE \%

JLT - SUPPORTING SPONSOR OF NZBRIDGE PROVIDING:

- Business Insurance Solutions
- Tailored Travel Insurance
- Employee Benefits Services

Please contact JLT for further information:
JLT Auckland
P: +64 (0) 93759345
JLT Christchurch
P: +64 (0) 33631190
JLT Wellington
P: +64 (0) 44958214


