## Qualifiers for the final of the New Zealand Pairs ae

```
MILNE - COUTTS
COURTNEY - WYER
ATKINSON -CARTER
BARON - BROWN
MILL -WARE
STOUT -F MILLER
JONES -MILLINGTON
GRANT -SKIPPER
YAN -ZHOU
YANG - REN
MIAO - BURROWS
LI -LIU
LOFGREN - SOLOMON
SIMPSON -SIMPSON
STUCKEY - PALMER
FISHER -MASTERS
JOHNSTONE -GREGORY
PERLEY - FREELAND
YULE -YULE
GUMBY - LAZER
CROWE-MAI -RAISIN
LIN - FAN
WU -TERRY
HUMPHRIES -PATTISON
CARRYER -CALVERT
NORMAN - D'ARCY
```


## Congress Noticeboard

## Monday October 1st

NZ Pairs Final:
Back to the Future Teams:
Back to the Future Final Only :
Rubber Final
Goulash Pairs

9:30am \& 2:15pm
10:00am \& 2:15pm
7.30pm
7.30pm
7.30pm

## Goulash Pairs - \$5 Table Money

As this is an additional event, there will be a $\$ 5$ entry charge. $50 \%$ of the money collected will be returned as prize money and $50 \%$ will go to the New Zealand Bridge Foundation. Goulash dealing is done in groups of cards and this ensures that there will be wild and woolly distributions. It really is FUN bridge.

## Tuesday October 2nd

NZ Teams Q1 10:30am - Lunch - NZ Teams Q2 \& Q3 1:15pm - Dinner - NZ Teams Q4 \& Q5 7:30pm

## Back To The Future Teams

Entries close at the desk at 9 am. If you want team-mates please see Nola or Gwyn at the Congress Desk. Remember that you must play each session with a different partner. It may be tactically advisable to play your most practised partnerships in order to qualify or to save them for the final .....you decide. Teams containing contestants in the Rubber final CANNOT qualify for the final of this event. Only 13 teams qualify for the final. Any request for substitutes must be approved by the Chief Director

## New Zealand Teams

Entries close at 9.00 am on Tuesday. The deadline for registering HUM's and providing a system copy and a recommended defence is midday tomorrow MONDAY.

## Overseas Players

Please use your NZ Bridge computer number when using the bridgemates. If you don't know your number, please see one of the directors

## When to Listen.

## Michael Courtney

Perhaps thirty years ago I was bemused to learn something new in the post-mortem of a deal from a match-point event in London. "Board five" said Price "Four spades, down two", I said." What else?" It was a fairly ordinary deal. I overcalled 1s and partner with a healthy 4351 raised to four. I led toward a few honour cards that lost and finished two down. "I made four" said Price. "I made four doubled" said Simpson. "I made five" said Senior.
"Who gave you the hand records?" I enquired "They did" said Colin Simpson. "I overcalled 1\&, West passed, Lionel bid 2^, Pass, Pass $3 \star x$ $3 \bullet \times 4 \&$ all pass. How could I fail?"

Indeed though there is much to be said for bidding game directly when you can, taking a slower route can provide important extra information. Consider Bd. 24 session two (directions swapped to make South declarer:

| Dealer: <br> Vul: | - Q86 <br> - 9 <br> - T97543 <br> - KQ8 | KJT7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - QT854 <br> - AQJ2 | W E | - K62 <br> - K86 |
| -7 | S | - JT3 |
|  | - A92 <br> - AJ73 |  |
|  | A96542 |  |


| West <br> Jones | North <br> Wyer | East <br> Millington | South <br> Courtney |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | $1 \&$ |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | $5 \& ?$ |

I got a heart lead and largely had to place the spade king then and there. Misguessing, I led a low spade at trick two and now down one was inevitable. Suppose I had bid 3a at my second turn.. Barry would bid $4 \vee$ and Paul 5» and there we would play. It is then clear that West has a singleton club. He has not made a take-out double of 1 C so is likely 3541 . Jenny then is more likely to hold the spade king, along with the heart king and one of the top diamonds. The play is fairly simple.

Ruff three hearts and three diamonds to reach


We are doomed if the singleton club is an honour. So, club nine pinning the seven. East wins. Now after a spade exit win the queen, cash the ace and exit with a spade. After a club exit, finesse the six, cash the ace, then play a spade to the eight.

## Nice Defence From James Coutts

Liam Milne P2/18

| - 4 <br> , KQ975 <br> - 8 <br> - AQJ762 | - AJ8732 <br> - AJT82 <br> - 75 | K9-AKQT64543 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | W E |  |
|  | $s$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & * \text { QT65 } \\ & \bullet 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | - J932 |  |
|  | - KT98 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | P |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| 4 | 4 | P | P |
| 5 |  |  |  |

2 C was game forcing.
As North I led the $\vee$ A for fear the $\uparrow A$ was about to be ruffed. At trick two I shifted to $₫ A$ but James Coutts made it clear that hearts was the winner with a demonstrably large spade. At trick three, I played the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ for James to ruff.

If James returns a spade, declarer can finesse clubs then cross back with a diamond and take the finesse to draw trumps. A trump is obviously no better. Diagnosing the need to attack declarer's entries, James switched to a diamond away from the Jack into dummy's long strong diamonds!

Declarer had no answer. There was no way to avoid a second club loser and declarer had to concede down two. Nicely analysed partner!

## Double Trouble

Some of the more fancied pairs had moments of excitement with penalty doubles.

Alan Grant ( N ) and John Skipper were in play against Barry Jones (E) and Jenny Millington when this one landed:


Jenny opened 1a as West and Alan overcalled $2 \vee$. This ran round to Jenny and she doubled. Barry opted to shoot it out. Despite the declaring side only holding 7 trumps and the disparity in hcp, DeepFinesse has this as a make. Alan showed how.

Barry led the 10 and Jenny took her Ace. She continued a spade to Alan's King and Barry's ruff. Barry switched to the 10 of trumps and declarer took Jenny's Queen and ruffed a club in dummy. A small diamond was played towards North's Queen which scored when Jenny ducked. Alan cashed the King and Jack of hearts before running the $\$ 9$ to Jenny's Ace. Jenny cashed a high club for the defence's fourth trick and Barry still had a trump to come but that left Alan with 8 tricks.

Stan watched the same hand played by Martin Bloom at a rather more demanding level:
(Hand repeated for convenience)


Not an easy hand to bid. After Tony Nunn opened 1a and Justin Mill made a takeout double, Martin had a difficult decision to make. Once you decide to bid 1NT you have to make a bid over 2NT.
If instead you passed over the double, then Michael Ware has to bid $2 \star$, and this of course makes it nigh impossible to then bid at all.
The third alternative is to bid $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ over the double. We are all taught that this shows 10 plus points, but in actual fact this myth has come from the USA, where the Strong NT is played, and if the bidding were to go 1 of a major, 2 minor, then the 13-14 point opener has to bid 2NT, and needs 10 points opposite to ensure no disaster occurs. Meanwhile in Acol land, the same bidding would show 15-16, and so one only needs 8 points to be on safe ground in 2NT.
It is unlikely that this logic will influence any bridge teachers in the near future. Trying to make 3NT on this hand after a diamond lead, by finessing the spade, led to -300.

Back to double trouble: Nico Ransom and John Ransom had a flat set against Michael and Justin - the hard way.


John opened the East cards 2 $\downarrow$ and Michael overcalled 2NT. Nico knew what to do about that so the axe descended.
It is difficult to see how declarer can come to more than 3 tricks and so it eventuated - 5 down and a non-vul 1100 for John and Nico.

Onto the next:


Nico and John's auction seems a little optimistic:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P | P |
| 13 | P | 20 | P |
| 2 | P | 3s | P |
| 3 | P | 34 | P |
| 4* | P | 4 | P |
| 63 |  |  |  |

With little lying right for declarer, this was quickly 2 off.
"How was your set?"
"50\%"

## Bidding Problem

Board 24 in the first semi-final saw few pairs reach the best spot. On the layout below, DeepFinesse shows that North-South have a choice of 3 grand slams so the semi-finalists will surely all be in slam - not quite as you will see.


Admittedly 13 tricks does need spades to be other than 4 to the Jack and the club finesse is essential but I still would have expected more than 2 pairs (Jeff Miller / Graeme Stout and Matt Brown / Steve Barron) to reach any slam - 6\& in both cases. Otherwise one pair played $5 *$ for a bottom and 3 played 4 a For what might well have been a second bottom. However, the
remaining 33 pairs played 3 NT, with one saving the 4^ers by dropping a trick.

Where I watched, Marin Löfgren and Richard Solomon bid the hand thus.

Martin opened 1NT as North and Richard responded 2NT.
Martin responded 3 as invitation in clubs and, after much thought and squirming, Richard closed matters in 3NT.

Graeme and Jeff bid the slam as


1* was Precision style and 2* showed 5+ clubs and 8+ points. 3e was
ongoing and 4e was Keycard. 4v showed one key and that sent Graeme off to slam.

## Trust Partner



After 2 passes West opened 1A, and East replied 1NT, finishing the Auction. South led the $3 \vee$, as all old fashioned Acol players would, declarer played the

Jack from dummy, for no particular reason, and North took their Ace.
Trust your partner, please!
North now chose not to play the Qv, instead leading back the $5 \vee$, which blocked the suit, which didn't matter as South still had the $A *$ as an entry. BUT South now thought that the Q $\vee$ was in East's hand, so to keep
communications open, ducked the heart to the 10 in dummy!
5 spade tricks and the $\star$ A meant 1NT making, instead of losing 4 tricks in $\vee$, and 3 in s.
Names withheld, we cannot handle too many lawsuits after coming out of retirement.

## Taking Lessons

Sitting behind Tom Jacob while he and Brian Mace opposed Martin Bloom and Tony Nunn, I was interested when Tom picked up:
^86 『 A873 * 7 \& KJ9754
After yesterday's ZARS lesson from Tom, I mentally tallied it up

Sum of 2 longest 10
Longest minus shortest 5
HCP (on 6421 scale) 11
Total 26, the ZARS requirement for an opener. After the hand, on which Brian had opened first in hand, I asked Tom if he would have opened as I (a registered psychotic bidder) probably wouldn't have. Tom replied that he would, describing it as a powerful hand. Though he did add "certainly as long as you were sitting there".

I find this evaluation interesting though I have the feeling that my long-
suffering partner may be less than impressed when I take it for a test drive.

## Interesting Times

I sat down to watch Richard Solomon (S) and Martin Löfgren play Jeff Miller (W) and Graeme Stout and saw 2 boards of interest


With Richard opening a strong no trump, it looks automatic for Martin to transfer - he didn't he went straight ot 3NT. As can be seen in hearts or no trumps there are just 2 losers and Martin's decision reaped a 66\% board as two thirds of pairs played in hearts. Onto the next where Jeff Miller attempted 4NT. DeepFinesse has 9 tricks available in no trumps but it isn't immediately obvious how.


A heart was led and Jeff took his King. He tried a club finesse at trick 2 unsuccessfully. A heart was returned to dumMy's Ace and, twist and turn as he might Jeff could only round up 8 tricks.

At first glance, 8 tricks seem to be the limit With both club honours offside, you don't have the entries to lead spades twice towards the closed hand so you seem to be restricted to 2 spades, 2 hearts and 4 diamonds. Running the hand through a double dummy analyser reveals that there are several ways to make 9. Perhaps the most counter-intuitive is for you to return a heart to dummy's Ace at trick 2 , thus severing your only connection between the 2 hands.

We are all aware that "Deep Finesse" looks at all 4 hands, and can drop singleton Kings off side with aplomb. However there are some hands that at first glance, and then a second and a third, where it seems unlikely on OUR defence, that a contract can be made. The truth is, DF is never wrong. But look at the hand above. Yes you can make 3NT, I watched Pauline Gumby make 5NT on the Qa lead. She only lost two club tricks. But how about a heart lead? There appear to be not
enough entries to set up the clubs, nor to take the double spade finesse twice. It is fascinating to watch. Qv lead. Win the King and play a heart back, and duck it. Really!
Ok, let's try a 3rd heart. Surely that can't be bad. East wins the A $\vee$, and can either play a spade, or a diamond. Let's play a diamond. Cash A $\downarrow$, then 3 more rounds of diamonds. Now end play South by leading a spade, or if South keeps 3a, then has to come down to a singleton As.
Deep Finesse is never wrong, but it is very interesting, sometimes.

Richard enjoyed less success in a hand from the first session, though his exploits did have a final crowning glory.


Richard was declaring $2 \star$ from the South seat against Jane Lennon (W) and Jane Skipper.

Two rounds of spades were followed by the Ace of hearts and a third spade, ruffed with declarer's Jack, while Jane L discarded a club. Richard played a heart to dummy for Jane $S$ to ruff with the 4 , She played the $\quad J$ back and Richard ruffed with the King as west discarded another club. Richard exited the 2 K to east's Ace and she played the last spade ruffed by the $10 . . \mathrm{He}$
ruffed a heart with dummy's Queen for Jane S to over-ruff with the Ace. A club came back and Richard ruffed with the 5 and this time Jane L did over-ruff, scoring her 7. A heart was ruffed with dummy's 9 which left
vT
४

- 8
\& 7

And the final indignity when he ruffed a club with the 2 , only to be over-ruffed with the 3.
Looking at the whole layout, it's hard to imagine that EW would score their 3, 4 and 7 of trumps as well as the Ace.
And Richard's crowning glory


And he said


Our next hand shows Richard back in better form

|  | - 4 <br> - KQ <br> - AKQ852 <br> - J974 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - QJ832 <br> - 8754 <br> - T9 | $\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$ |  |
| - 52 | S | - AKQT863 |
|  | - T76 <br> - AJT632 |  |
|  | - J843 |  |

After East opened 1a, South bid 2v, West passed and North bid $4 \vee$. East was not to be out bid, and bid $5 \&$ which was passed round to North, Martin, who doubled. Richard found the miraculous lead of a small spade. This might appear to be rather ordinary, but unless declarer finesses the club at trick 2, bad things happen. They won the spade lead, and tried to draw trumps. When they got the bad news, they tried to cross to dummy with a a . This was trumped, a heart was led for another spade ruff, and the A completed the disaster for 2 light. A completely different story for Jimmy Wallis. The bidding was $1 \&$, only 1 v from South, West passed, and North bid $3 \star$, $4 *$ from East, and South bid $4 *$. West continued to pass, understandably, and North bid $5 \uparrow$. East, non-vul, decided to take the sacrifice in 6e, and North doubled. The lead was the $\mathrm{A} \vee$, and the question is; Which card do you play from the KQ? If you play the King, does this show a singleton, in which case a heart continuation is called for. Or do you play the Queen, which will also look like a singleton. In either case you really want a switch, preferably a spade.
After a $\vee$ continuation, 6 made in comfort.

Once again Cynthia Claton has produced hand-crafted greetings cards to raise money for NZ Youth Bridge. They are available by the Congress bookstall


NZ Pairs Semi Final Ladder *

| Place | Pair Names | Carry Fwd | Session $\mathbf{1}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Session } \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MILNE - COUTTS | 60.4 | 57.84 | 57.05 | 175.29 |  |
| 2 | COURTNEY - WYER | 51.47 | 59.43 | 62.11 | 173.02 |  |
| 3 | ATKINSON -CARTER | 58.58 | 59.85 | 53.7 | 172.13 |  |
| 4 | baron - brown | 61.33 | 59.68 | 50.06 | 171.07 |  |
| 5 | MILL -WARE | 59.19 | 57.32 | 53.22 | 169.73 |  |
| 6 | STOUT -F MILLER | 54.73 | 64.35 | 50.62 | 169.7 |  |
| 7 | JONES -MILLINGTON | 52 | 58.88 | 57.04 | 167.92 |  |
| 8 | GRANT -SKIPPER | 60.61 | 57.34 | 49.93 | 167.89 |  |
| 9 | YAN -ZHOU | 58.33 | 50.13 | 59.02 | 167.48 |  |
| 10 | YaNG - REN | 57.49 | 59.34 | 49.19 | 166.02 |  |
| 11 | MIAO-burrows | 57.29 | 56.53 | 51.4 | 165.21 |  |
| 12 | LI-LIU | 52.43 | 57.87 | 53.96 | 164.27 |  |
| 13 | LOFGREN - SOLOMON | 53.99 | 49.75 | 60.42 | 164.15 |  |
| 14 | SIMPSON -SIMPSON | 53.53 | 51.59 | 57.4 | 162.51 |  |
| 15 | STUCKEY - PALMER | 50.21 | 54.93 | 57.28 | 162.42 |  |
| 16 | FISHER -MASTERS | 54.89 | 53.94 | 53.29 | 162.12 |  |
| 17 | JOHNSTONE -GREGORY | 58.41 | 53.43 | 50.06 | 161.89 |  |
| 18 | PERLEY - FREELAND | 50.68 | 54.53 | 56.31 | 161.52 |  |
| 19 | Yule -yule | 53.22 | 52.13 | 55.61 | 160.95 |  |
| 20 | GUMBY - LAZER | 51.49 | 57.16 | 52.06 | 160.7 |  |
| 21 | CROWE-MAI -RAISIN | 50.7 | 52.36 | 57.32 | 160.38 |  |
| 22 | LIN - FAN | 50.2 | 53.39 | 55.99 | 159.57 |  |
| 23 | WU -TERRY | 63.25 | 58.79 | 37.4 | 159.44 |  |
| 24 | HUMPHRIES -PATTISON | 65.56 | 43.91 | 49.76 | 159.23 |  |
| 25 | CARRYER -CALVERT | 57.19 | 54.71 | 46.85 | 158.75 |  |
| 26 | NORMAN - D'ARCY | 62.22 | 40.95 | 54.16 | 157.32 |  |
| 27 | RANSON - MCMAHON | 57.25 | 45.09 | 54.61 | 156.95 |  |
| 28 | DAVIDSON - YUEN | 53.87 | 52.26 | 50.44 | 156.57 |  |
| 29 | EVITT - BOUGHEY | 55.42 | 51.88 | 48.92 | 156.21 |  |
| 30 | hUANG -E SUN | 52.45 | 49.44 | 54.06 | 155.95 |  |
| 31 | LEI -CHEN | 54.66 | 49 | 52.19 | 155.84 |  |
| 32 | NEWELL -REID | 59.77 | 42.94 | 52.6 | 155.31 |  |
| 33 | NUNN - BLOOM | 51.35 | 47.92 | 56.01 | 155.28 |  |
| 34 | FOIDL - GLASS | 52.2 | 50.63 | 51.84 | 154.67 |  |
| 35 | PING -YOUNG | 49.27 | 51.41 | 53.93 | 154.6 |  |
| 36 | WOODHALL - CLAYTON | 58.35 | 45.72 | 50.52 | 154.59 |  |
| 37 | WANG -LIU | 50.61 | 49.62 | 54.14 | 154.36 |  |
| 38 | SKIPPER -LENNON | 51.39 | 53.87 | 48.7 | 153.96 |  |
| 39 | DAVIES - PATTERSON | 54.46 | 56.41 | 43 | 153.86 |  |
| 40 | TEMPLE - KRUINIGER | 51.47 | 53.34 | 48.77 | 153.58 |  |
| 41 | CAMP - SHAMI | 49.09 | 54.78 | 49.36 | 153.23 |  |
| 42 | GRAY - GUY | 55.56 | 49.06 | 48.6 | 153.22 |  |
| 43 | RICHARDSON - BOUGHEY | 51.76 | 50.81 | 50.24 | 152.8 |  |
| 44 | GARDINER -GILL | 53 | 52.99 | 46.6 | 152.59 |  |
| 45 | SCHUMACHER -- SKIPPER | 53.45 | 50 | 48.62 | 152.07 |  |
| 46 | DODDRIDGE-WILSON | 50.7 | 50.83 | 50.24 | 151.77 |  |
| 47 | MORRISON - FENWICK | 50.34 | 47.47 | 53.58 | 151.39 |  |


| 48 | TAN -QI |
| ---: | :--- |
| 49 | TISLEVOLL - SOUNDRA |
| 50 | ROSTER - GIBBONS |
| 51 | BARROWCLOUGH - MCCARTNEY |
| 52 | GOODMAN -INGLIS |
| 53 | HENTON - DE LUCA |
| 54 | BUZZARD - SHEPHERD |
| 55 | THOMPSON - MCCASKILL |
| 56 | CARTNER -PALMER |
| 57 | LUH - GAO BI |
| 58 | BRAITHWAITE - BRAITHWAITE |
| 59 | NASH -WALLIS |
| 60 | HENWOOD -WINIATA |
| 61 | TARBUTT - GRIGG |
| 62 | MACE -JACOB |
| 63 | KER - WYLIE |
| 63 | DUNCAN -GOULD |
| 65 | TIBBLE - GARLAND |
| 66 | GOLD - MUNTZ |
| 67 | BROOKES -KELLY |
| 68 | ABRAHAM - OBERDRIES |
| 69 | BENHAM -CLEAVER |
| 70 | COUTTS - COUTTS |
| 71 | WALTERS -WALTERS |
| 72 | DAGG - NEWTON |
| 73 | NIGHTINGALE -NIGHTINGALE |
| 74 | BOTT -CANNELL |
| 75 | RIDDELL - ROBERTSON |
| 76 | STRETTON - MITCHELL |
| 77 | STUCK - WILSON |
| 78 | BLACK -MAY |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| 49.49 | 48.87 | 52.82 | 151.18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51.31 | 49.78 | 49.33 | 150.41 |  |
| 57.52 | 39.12 | 52.79 | 149.43 |  |
| 52.79 | 39.9 | 56.56 | 149.25 |  |
| 52.64 | 48.25 | 47.71 | 148.59 |  |
| 57.73 | 48.33 | 42.38 | 148.43 |  |
| 53.18 | 45.16 | 49.91 | 148.26 |  |
| 56.73 | 40.39 | 50.85 | 147.96 |  |
| 50.08 | 45.26 | 52.58 | 147.92 |  |
| 50.05 | 49.32 | 48.28 | 147.65 |  |
| 50.05 | 47.39 | 50.13 | 147.57 |  |
| 54.91 | 46.21 | 46.42 | 147.55 |  |
| 51.7 | 42.38 | 52.87 | 146.95 |  |
| 50.82 | 56.3 | 39.16 | 146.27 |  |
| 53.23 | 47.7 | 44.28 | 145.21 |  |
| 50.16 | 49.58 | 45.06 | 144.79 |  |
| 54.62 | 43.44 | 46.73 | 144.79 |  |
| 49.9 | 40.31 | 53.76 | 143.97 |  |
| 55.33 | 46.58 | 41.56 | 143.46 |  |
| 49.09 | 52.85 | 41.16 | 143.1 |  |
| 50.49 | 51.01 | 41.57 | 143.07 |  |
| 51.98 | 42.75 | 47.66 | 142.38 |  |
| 49.37 | 45.25 | 47.71 | 142.33 |  |
| 57.19 | 47.66 | 37.11 | 141.96 |  |
| 50.3 | 46.42 | 43.56 | 140.27 |  |
| 49.89 | 42.58 | 47.57 | 140.03 |  |
| 51.39 | 44.02 | 43.69 | 139.1 |  |
| 51.31 | 43.6 | 42.78 | 137.69 |  |
| 49.86 | 44.97 | 41.87 | 136.7 |  |
| 53.33 | 40.83 | 41.56 | 135.71 |  |
| 50.47 | 38.21 | 40.47 | 129.15 |  |

## Congress Pairs

| 1 | BERRINGTON JARVIS | 136.84 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | JOHNSON SOLE | 118.39 |  |
| 3 | YEOMANS WHYTE | 114.72 |  |
| 4 | HURLEY HURLEY | 113.7 |  |
| 5 | YUAN CHEN | 113.1 |  |
| 6 | WAKEFIELD PEMBERTON | 112.74 |  |
| 7 | HOPKINS MAYER | 111.36 |  |
| 8 | GRAY NEELS | 110.22 |  |
| 9 | MORRIS MORRIS | 110.1 |  |
| 10 | FISHER CURRY | 109.19 |  |
| 11 | SUN ZHANG | 108.59 |  |
| 12 | HSU WINSOR | 108.11 |  |
| 12 | HAMILTON GLENN | 108.11 |  |
| 12 | MALUISH MILL | 108.11 |  |
| 15 | BATCHELOR SKOROPADA | 108.05 |  |
| 16 | WEN RUSSELL | 107.93 |  |
| 17 | LAPTHORNE HAWKINS | 106.85 |  |
| 18 | COSGROVE JACKSON | 106.07 |  |
| 19 | MORRIS GORDON | 105.71 |  |
| 20 | SPENCER GUILFORD | 104.45 |  |
| 21 | CHEN ZHU | 104.27 |  |
| 22 | DELANY LAURENSON | 104.09 |  |
| 23 | GEARE WOODHEAD | 103.37 |  |
| 24 | RUDDELL BROWN | 103.31 |  |
| 25 | RUSSELL ACKERLEY | 103 |  |
| 26 | MONAGHAN NORRIS | 102.58 |  |
| 27 | PEAKE ASHTON | 102.4 |  |
| 28 | HANNA FITZSIMONS | 102.34 |  |
| 29 | FALLON FALLON | 100.18 |  |
| 30 | KELLY BOLLAND | 100 |  |
| 31 | CONAGLEN JOHNSTON | 99.46 |  |
| 32 | WILSON LEWIS | 99.4 |  |
| 33 | DON NISBET | 99.28 |  |
| 34 | PHILLIPS MCMILLAN | 98.98 |  |
| 35 | PARKES STERN | 98.74 |  |
| 35 | LANGE MATSKOWS | 98.74 |  |
| 37 | LULU MCINTOSH | 98.2 |  |
| 38 | SMITH VERHAEGH | 97.48 |  |
| 38 | JOHNSTON BOUTON | 97.48 |  |
| 40 | HE YAN | 97.42 |  |
| 41 | DOWNWARD BUCKLAND | 97.12 |  |
| 42 | HEALY HEALY | 96.81 |  |
| 43 | ORSBORN ORSBORN | 96.33 |  |
| 44 | WEBCKE CURRIE | 96.03 |  |
| 45 | BEALE ENGLAND | 94.59 |  |
| 46 | M - ERNIE SUTTON | 94.53 |  |
| 47 | HENSMAN SHEEHY | 93.87 |  |


| 47 | MCRAE MCRAE | 93.87 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | KELLY MARTELLETTI | 93.75 |  |
| 50 | SWEETMAN CHURCH | 93.15 |  |
| 51 | YOUNG WOOD | 92.85 |  |
| 52 | CHING LO | 92.61 |  |
| 53 | BROOKES DAWSON | 90.2 |  |
| 54 | ARMSTRONG HARRIS | 90.08 |  |
| 55 | MINCHIN MINCHIN | 89.96 |  |
| 56 | DICK LUX | 89.78 |  |
| 57 | HILL TURNER | 88.82 |  |
| 58 | CULLEN THOMAS | 88.58 |  |
| 59 | LICHTNECKER BACH | 88.04 |  |
| 60 | MCLEOD TURNER | 87.5 |  |
| 61 | WOOD BUCKLEY | 86.66 |  |
| 62 | MCARTHUR MOREL | 85.28 |  |
| 63 | MILSUM BEER | 85.1 |  |
| 64 | DRISCOLL DRISCOLL | 84.62 |  |
| 65 | COOPER WINTERS | 81.67 |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Place |  | Pair |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |


| 51 | GORDON-MALTHUS | 189.45 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 52 | GIBBONS-YUKICH | 189.01 |
| 53 | GRAHAM-EDGINTON | 186.1 |
| 54 | KIRKBY-BELFIELD | 185.83 |
| 55 | BROWN-BROWN | 184.69 |
| 56 | GARDINER-GARDINER | 184.41 |
| 57 | RAPLEY-COOPER | 183.51 |
| 58 | GREENWAY-ROWLAND | 180.01 |
| 59 | BARTOLI-MCENTEGART | 177.08 |
| 60 | SHEARER-SHEARER | 174.64 |
| 61 | FERGUS-FERGUS | 172.94 |
| 62 | WATSON-WATSON | 172.04 |
| 63 | LOBB-FLETCHER | 171.47 |
| 64 | BUCHANAN-GIBSON COLLINGS | 163.57 |
| 65 | JOSEPH-MARRYATT | 160.91 |
| 66 | SCOTT-JORDAN | 157.82 |

