| Place | Team | Imps | VPs | W-D-L |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | REID (28) | 185 | 141.04 | $7-0-3$ |
| 2 | CARTNER (81) | 153 | 138.99 | $8-0-2$ |
| 3 | HAWKINS (27) | 148 | 133.28 | $8-0-2$ |
| 4 | GIBBONS (26) | 172 | 133.2 | $7-0-3$ |
| 5 | CARTER (43) | 185 | 131.43 | $7-0-3$ |
| 6 | BOUGHEY (79) | 143 | 131.38 | $8-0-2$ |
| 7 | JACOB (71) | 117 | 128.86 | $7-0-3$ |
| 8 | LEI (32) | 127 | 128.24 | $7-0-3$ |
| 9 | JARVIS (16) | 132 | 126.59 | $6-0-4$ |
| 10 | OBERDRIES (62) | 113 | 125.97 | $7-0-3$ |
| 11 | LIU (54) | 122 | 125.73 | $8-0-2$ |
| 12 | STOUT (92) | 155 | 122.24 | $6-0-4$ |
| 13 | BECK (41) | 117 | 122.09 | $7-0-3$ |
| 14 | RIGLER (40) | 113 | 122.06 | $8-0-2$ |
| 15 | SPOONER (4) | 148 | 121.62 | $5-0-5$ |
| 16 | LI (44) | 93 | 120.68 | $7-0-3$ |

## 5 over 5 Finally Correct

## Julian Foster

In my view this is one of the better "rules" of bridge. We had broken it several times during the pairs and had been wrong every time.
This one then came up in the final session of the pairs plate

| - 7 |  | - AKQ65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AQJ82 <br> - Q652 | W E | - K96 <br> - A943 |
| - KT5 | S | - 7 |
|  | ค J98432 <br> - 753 <br> - KJT <br> - 4 |  |

Our bidding was $1 \wedge-P-2 \vee-5$ - $5 \vee$ end I received the slightly helpful defence of A and another club. Deciding North could well have 8 clubs for his $5 \& b i d$ I correctly ruffed in dummy with the $\vee K$. When I played a heart to hand North played a slightly deceptive 10. At this point I am cold for making $5 \vee(5 \vee, * K$, club ruff, $\star A$ and 3 top spades).
I drew trumps and played spades aiming to set up the 5th spade in dummy for my 12th trick but this obviously failed when North only had 1 spade.
There's a much better line to make 6 that I think I should have found. Once the $\vee \vee 10$ appears, cross to dummy with $\uparrow A$ then return to hand to run all your trumps to produce


I now play the K and South is squeezed out of the $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$ or spade guard.
This play is much better odds as spades surely rate to be at best 5-2 on the auction and the only danger is a 50 diamond split.

Luckily for me +650 scored 81\% anyway!

## Happy Ending

## An Anonymous Contributor

Please put your names to your articles


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1 \downarrow$ |
| $1 \downarrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ | P | 3 |
| P | 3 NT |  |  |

*1『 showed Spades
At trick one a spade was led to the Ace. A club was returned to the Ace, and another club played, taking out the diamond entry. The $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ was ducked, and now the $\checkmark$ Q was played to the King and Ace. The $\downarrow 2$ hearts was played to the 4,8 and 6 . The last spade from dummy to the King, then $\upharpoonright J$ and a heart to Easts 10.

East was now endplayed, to either lead diamonds or give North an entry in clubs or spades to the winning last heart.

The end position was


## Round 6

Saw 2 of the more fancied pre-post teams face each other. For the Braithwaite team Andy Braithwaite (N) and Jonathan Westoby faced Pairs champions Liam Milne and James Coutts (W). While Peter Newell (N) and Martin Reid opposed Richard Solomon (W) and Martin Löfgren. The first significant action came on board 3 when the Reid team over-clubbed.


Martin R had a wee whoopsie which, however, went unpunished. He opened the South hand $3 \boldsymbol{s}$ - not too unusual? Well the Newell - Reid convention card describes 2NT as a club pre-empt with less than 10 hcp while a 3 opener is described as constructive! The only thing I think you could construct with Martin's hand is an abattoir. Nobody could think of anything else to do.
The defence scored 4 trump tricks (constructive?) as well as some other goodies to pick up 300 .

Meanwhile

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 1a | X | 2. |
| P | P | X | P |
| 3. | P | 3. | X |
| xx | P | 4* |  |

This was the second last hand played in a match where there were swing boards everywhere. James and Liam had made some very good decisions, but this hand gave Andy and Jonathan an opportunity that they failed to take. The bidding got high very quickly. South passed as Dealer and West opened 1C. North overcalled 1 spade
and Liam with a flattish 14 points and 4 H doubled for take-out. South bid 2 S and this came round to Liam, who doubled again. James bid 3 clubs. Liam tried 3 spades looking for 3NTand Jonathon doubled this. Q3 didn't look like a stopper to James so he redoubled. Liam now bid 4 clubs and Jonathan missed his chance to punish them for 800 when he failed to double.

Onto the next:

| - T93 <br> - AJ92 <br> - 3 <br> - QT642 | - 875 <br> - KQT643 <br> - J95 <br> - 3 | - KQ64 <br> - 85 <br> - 7642 <br> - J87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $W^{\text {N }}$ E |  |
|  | S |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AJ2 } \\ & \vee 7 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | - AKQT9 |  |
|  | - AK95 |  |

Peter opened 2v and Martin R closed proceedings in 3NT. Martin played a heart to dummy's King, faffed about for a while but eventually took his obvious 8 other tricks.

In the other room Johnathan and Andy were more aggressive in the auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | P | P | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| P | 2 | P | 3 |
| P | 3 | P | 3 NT |
| P | 4 | P | 4 |
| P | 5 | P | 6 |

This was their last hand, and after failing to double 4 on the previous
hand, Andy and Jonathan were looking for another chance. South opened 2\&, Andy bid $2 \star$, and they finished in $6 \star$. Unfortunately, this was never going to make, even without the spade lead that they received, so with a quiet 3NT in the other room, and going 2 light, this was - 13 imps .

One imp changed hands on the next 2 before the calculators came out again on board 7 .


Martin L and Richard had a convoluted auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | P |
| 1. | P | 2. | P |
| 2 | P | 2NT | P |
| 3* | P | 3. | P |
| 4. | P | 4NT | P |
| 5 | P | 5. |  |

I think it is worthy of comment that, when Martin's 5a bid appeared, Richard put in a Championship winning performance - championship winning in the world Gurning Championships as he produced at least 30 seconds of facial contortion before subsiding with a pass.

There was nothing to the play as Martin gave up 2 spade tricks and claimed. Meanwhile,

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | P | 2s | P |
| 2. | P | 2NT | P |
| 3. | P | 4e** | P |
| 4 | P | 4NT | P |
| 5 | P | 6NT | P |
| P | P |  |  |

**4 Clubs was a cue for spades. South passed, and EW had an uninterrupted run to 6 spades. IMHO, (and I am not winning some of these analyses, sorry Justin), this is not a terrible slam, if the spades were 2-3 instead of 3-2 it would have made. Very few pairs bid it. 3NT in the other room meant -13imps.

The calculator stayed out for the next:


The Newell/Solomon auction was:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 *$ | 1NT | 2 | P |
| 3 3 | P | 4* | P |
| 4 | P | 4NT | P |
| 5* | x | 5. | P |
| 6 |  |  |  |

Peter's 1NT was comic. In fact, with that flat shape it's pretty damn hilarious. After Martin had called $2 v$, Richard was looking to drive to slam and so called 3a, recognising that Martin would probably take this as a splinter. Richard, however, intended to control the auction to slam and did so successfully. Martin was not extended to make 12 tricks.

Liam and James had an unimpeded auction to game. After failing to make 6 spades on the previous hand, it was not surprising that James and Liam were rather reticent to try again. Of course this time all the finesses worked and they bid it in the other room, -12 imps.

The calculator was getting worn out but was needed again on board 9 .

| - AKQ962 <br> - T873 <br> - T6 <br> - 2 |  3 <br> QJ9864  <br> Q93  <br> KQ72  <br>  $\mathbf{N}$ | ^ JT87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | W E | - QJ543 |
|  | S | * AKJ6 |
|  | - 54 <br> - AK2 |  |
|  | - K97 |  |
|  | - T7543 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 1 | $1 \downarrow$ | 2 |

Peter's 1 * was $9-15$ with 4 hearts so Martin L's $1 \vee$ is like a takeout double. Richard closed proceedings somewhat precipitously.

Liam and James's reticence was shortlived.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 \downarrow$ | P | $2 \boldsymbol{\imath}$ |
| P | $4 \downarrow$ | X | P |
| $6 \uparrow$ | P | P | P |

I really loved this bidding. Andy opened a multi $2 \star$, Jonathan bid $2 \star$, pass or correct, Andy bid $4 \checkmark$ and now Liam protected with a double. James knew Liam had no hearts and bid 6a, hoping for a heart lead. His wish was granted.

The calculator was developing RSI as board 10 continued its exertions.

| - 83 <br> - K2 <br> - AJ2 <br> - Q96543 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline & 9642 \\ \bullet & J 763 \\ + & \text { KQT5 } \\ + & 2 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | . AKQJ7 |
|  | W E | - A9854 |
|  | s | - A87 |
|  | - T5 |  |
|  | - QT |  |
|  | - 987643 |  |
|  | - KJT, |  |

Richard and Martin L reached 3NT without ever looking like they were going further.

Peter led the $\$ Q$ which was allowed to hold. He switched to a heart which Martin L took on table. He ran the spades, cashed the A and played a club to the Queen which Martin R
ducked. Martin L emerged with 11 tricks. However

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 14. | P |
| 1NT | P | 3 | P |
| 3. | P | 4* | P |
| 4* | P | 4 | P |
| $4 \mathrm{NT}$ | P | 5* | P |

East opened 1a and, after 1NT, bid $3 \vee$, showing 5-5 and a game force. After Liam signed off? In 4^, James felt his Kx in hearts were worth a look, and after the response of 5 clubs bid 6 spades. The diamond lead was taken with the Ace, and putting all his faith in the club suit, Liam drew trumps and played A and a club. Making 6. Another 13 imps. Boring.

## Round 8

And things are getting tight. The Cartner team had had a very good run and led the field for several stanzas.
They were to face Lei who had had a mediocre start but a succession of good results had moved well into contention.

For Cartner, captain Linda ( N ) and Glenis Palmer opposed Gary Chen (E) And June Lei. While Barry Palmer (W) and Neil Stuckey faced Xingke Zhang $(\mathrm{N})$ and Shaolin Sun.

The first board was a push and then action on the second.


Neil and Barry were quickly into 3NT and received a club lead. With the kind heart position, 9 tricks were not difficult to assemble.

Gary opened the usual $1 *$ and June bid 2a, a game force. Linda came in with $2 \vee$, Gary passed, and Glenis upped the ante with $3 v$. This was the critical moment in the bidding. If West doubles, East has 3 choices, each being positive. They can bid spades, . (that could be interesting), they can pass the double, or they could bid 3NT, the most popular spot. Unfortunately, June chose an even stronger bid, $4 \vee$, which can or should lead to $5 \&$, which has chances, and which Gary bid. But June felt he needed to do more, and bid 6e. This has little chance on the actual distribution, and in trying to make it, he went 3 light, -150 .

3NT made 11 tricks in the other room.

The next significant swing occurred on board and again it favoured Cartner.


Zhang opened 2e (Precision) and Sun showed his hearts. When Zhang repeated clubs, knowing that he was facing less than 16 and there was every sign of no fit, Sun followed the basic rule of bidding misfits - as soon as you diagnose one, stop bidding. The club position was not kind and there just weren't enough tricks $=-200$

A satisfactory system bid gave a satisfactory result. Linda opened 1\&, and Glennis bid $2 \downarrow$, showing a weak 2 in a major. $2 \downarrow$ was pass or correct and there they played. The singleton diamond was led, won by the Ace. The $Q$ hearts lost to the K, and a heart was returned? Final result was making 2 for +110 .
$=310$ for Cartner and 7 imps

More pain for Lei on Board 8


Zhang and Sun reached 4ia

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P$ | $P$ | $2 \downarrow$ | $X$ |
| $P$ | 3 | $P$ | 3 |
| $P$ | 4 |  |  |

With the revolting trump break, there seems to be a loser in each suit and so it eventuated.

Gary opened $1 \vee$ and Glennis doubled. June bid an aggressive 3v and Linda doubled to show some points. Gary bid $4 \vee$ and Linda bid 4a. A heart to the Ace and a heart returned to the Jack Queen and ruffed. When the 9 spades was led from dummy and the bad news appeared, Glennis allowed this to run. When a club was not returned at this point, it allowed declarer to set up the diamond suit to discard a losing club. 4. went 2 light in the other room, 11 imps.

Lei picked up 2 imps over the next 5 boards before they finally had a worthwhile collect on board 14


Barry and Neil were quickly in3NT. Zhang led the $\downarrow$ (more on this later) Barry took his King and lost the club finesse. Sun returned the $\&$ but the suit was blocked. He switched to a heart and Barry took the Ace. However, Zhang still had the $\uparrow$ A entry to his diamonds and 2 off was the result.

The other room also reached 3NT from west. Linda led the $>J$ and when the Queen was played, unblocking, the diamond suit could no longer run for 4 immediate tricks, only 2 , and in the fullness of time 9 tricks were claimed. In the other room 3NT went 2 light.

We ran a poll Linda, and 588 people out of 127 led a small diamond, hoping partner held either the K or the Q, or if all else fails, the 9.

Only joking, it was a very small poll, and only NZ First supporters were polled. (Alan this doesn't go on the internet, does it? This is very nice Shiraz by the way.) 12

## A Conversation With Jimmy

I think that I first met Jimmy Wallis at Congress in Rotorua in 1993 when I first edited the Bulletin. Since then he has become a source for many stories both here and in Canberra. Jim is not only a really good player but he has an eye for the extraordinary. I have written previously that when he is at the table events are liable to move into the Twilight Zone. On Wednesday afternoon, I wandered into the bar and Jim called me over. He gave me a hand and invited me to bid.

RHO opens 2 \& multi
Jim: Your bid
Alan: (after some thought and developing a cunning plan) Pass
Jim: You can't pass, you've got to double.

Alan: I can pass. You asked me to call. I pass

Jim: You can't pass. Next hand will pass and you'll be defending $2 \star x$.

Alan: No I won't. Next hand can't pass in case opener's got a 2NT opener.

Jim: OK you pass. Next hand bids $2 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$, pass or correct and opener rebids 2 and 2 passes follow. Your bid?

Alan: 3a
Jim; (looking somewhat puzzled) Next hand passes and partner bids $4 \star$. Your call?

Alan: 4NT. Keycard for diamonds

Jim You can't Keycard, you might be off 2 Aces.

Alan: I've got 3 Aces. In NZ there are only 4 in the pack

Jim: (After some desperate arithmetic) Partner shows 1 Key. Your bid

## Alan 6

Jim: OK. You got away with it.
And for the first time in 25 years, I won a bridge argument with Jimmy Wallis on this layout

| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$ |  |  | 8 <br> AKQJT3 <br> AQ <br> AQ5 | $\checkmark$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K } \\ & 9875 \\ & 753 \\ & \text { KT632 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AJ8765 |  | N |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 642 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | W | - |  |  |
|  | 984 |  | S | - |  |
|  |  |  | QT432 |  |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | KJT9842 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 7 |  |  |

## Protecting Partner From Himself

South was worried. North had had a hard week of bridge and was beginning to look a little tired. Two passes saw West open 1\&, North came in with 2e which systemically showed the majors.

South happily opted for $2 v$ on

- 9652 - JT9843 T43

It was with some sense of foreboding that South saw West double. Partner passed, as did East but South was up to the task. Obviously, fatigue had set in and pards had forgotten the system. So he took the bull by the $b^{* * *}$ s and bid

3ヶ. Judge for yourself where you would prefer to play $-2 v x$ or 3 ex ?


The partner shielding South had successfully- converted -100 into 1800.

Which gives us a triple award winning hand. First up the star of the show


Presenting as South
Michael Courtney
Who said


And secondly and thirdly we have Paul Wyer, the poor s*d sitting North and being "protected" and who was still smiling and Rose Don who brought this story to the world's attention.
(Michael's light was hidden under a very big bushel)

Rose and Paul are both collectors of a

# Babich <br> WINES 

## PLAY OF THE DAY

Award and can collect it from the Bulletin Office in Seminar 1

## Please Note

Saturday's Dine \& Dance
If you already have your ticket, it may say that it is a 7.30 pm start.

It isn't - it is 7pm. Later sold tickets have been amended but if you checked in early your ticket may well have the wrong time

NZ TEAMS
Results after 10 of 10
matches
Totals

| Place | Team | Imps | VPs | W-D-L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | REID (28) | 185 | 141.04 | 7-0-3 |
| 2 | CARTNER (81) | 153 | 138.99 | 8-0-2 |
| 3 | HAWKINS (27) | 148 | 133.28 | 8-0-2 |
| 4 | GIBBONS (26) | 172 | 133.2 | 7-0-3 |
| 5 | CARTER (43) | 185 | 131.43 | 7-0-3 |
| 6 | BOUGHEY (79) | 143 | 131.38 | 8-0-2 |
| 7 | JACOB (71) | 117 | 128.86 | 7-0-3 |
| 8 | LEI (32) | 127 | 128.24 | 7-0-3 |
| 9 | JARVIS (16) | 132 | 126.59 | 6-0-4 |
| 10 | ObERDRIES (62) | 113 | 125.97 | 7-0-3 |
| 11 | LIU (54) | 122 | 125.73 | 8-0-2 |
| 12 | STOUT (92) | 155 | 122.24 | 6-0-4 |
| 13 | BECK (41) | 117 | 122.09 | 7-0-3 |
| 14 | RIGLER (40) | 113 | 122.06 | 8-0-2 |
| 15 | SPOONER (4) | 148 | 121.62 | 5-0-5 |
| 16 | LI (44) | 93 | 120.68 | 7-0-3 |
| 17 | MORGAN (82) | 104 | 119.25 | 6-0-4 |
| 18 | WYER (68) | 77 | 118.54 | 7-0-3 |
| 19 | BOUTON (57) | 89 | 117.24 | 7-0-3 |
| 20 | NISBET (58) | 54 | 116.9 | 7-0-3 |
| 21 | BRAITHWAITE (75) | 81 | 116.36 | 7-0-3 |
| 22 | DICK (90) | 80 | 116.26 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| 23 | BENHAM (34) | 84 | 115.8 | 6-0-4 |
| 24 | DAWSON (98) | 89 | 115.74 | 6-0-4 |
| 25 | CLAYTON (47) | 83 | 115.4 | 5-0-5 |
| 26 | HANGARTNER (77) | 71 | 114.57 | 5-0-5 |
| 27 | MAYER (67) | 59 | 111.29 | 5-0-5 |
| 28 | ACKERLEY (91) | 25 | 111.24 | 7-0-3 |
| 29 | SKIPPER (101) | 51 | 111.1 | 6-0-4 |
| 30 | CORMACK (76) | 56 | 110.24 | 5-0-5 |
| 31 | MCGREGOR (39) | 34 | 110 | 7-0-3 |
| 32 | DAVIES (56) | 50 | 109.84 | 5-0-5 |
| 33 | BUZZARD (36) | 38 | 109.12 | 6-0-4 |
| 34 | WALTERS (73) | 25 | 108.93 | 7-0-3 |
| 35 | EMMS (106) | 52 | 108.68 | 4-0-6 |
| 36 | HARRIS (50) | 39 | 108.5 | 5-0-5 |
| 37 | CAMP (97) | 78 | 107.72 | 6-0-4 |
| 38 | DAVIDSON (19) | 30 | 107.61 | 6-0-4 |
| 39 | SCHUMACHER (31) | 25 | 107.31 | 5-0-5 |
| 40 | baron (105) | 24 | 107.22 | 5-0-5 |
| 41 | MILL (72) | 17 | 104.58 | 6-0-4 |
| 42 | TIBBLE (96) | 39 | 104.05 | 4-0-6 |
| 43 | BACH (24) | 12 | 102.81 | 5-0-5 |
| 44 | SONG (3) | -6 | 102.62 | 5-0-5 |
| 45 | RIDDELL (49) | 22 | 102.56 | 5-0-5 |
| 46 | LIN (17) | 7 | 102.5 | 6-0-4 |


| 47 | MORRIS (59) | 4 | 102.27 | 6-0-4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | HENTON (85) | -16 | 101.77 | 5-0-5 |
| 49 | CROWE-MAI (93) | -17 | 101.73 | 6-0-4 |
| 50 | TAN (9) | 12 | 101.41 | 4-0-6 |
| 51 | GOULD (61) | 11 | 101.17 | 5-0-5 |
| 52 | ZHU (46) | -13 | 100.72 | 5-0-5 |
| 53 | YEOMANS (29) | -7 | 100.48 | 5-0-5 |
| 54 | MCCARTHY (13) | -21 | 100.24 | \# \# \# \# |
| 55 | BARNARD (100) | -6 | 100.17 | 6-0-4 |
| 56 | WATKINSON (53) | -38 | 98.72 | 6-0-4 |
| 57 | MCMAHON (2) | 6 | 98.42 | 5-0-5 |
| 58 | JOHNSTONE (89) | -15 | 98.02 | \# \# \# \# |
| 59 | HENWOOD (74) | 7 | 97.47 | 4-0-6 |
| 60 | PARKER (33) | -3 | 96.98 | 4-0-6 |
| $60=$ | VERHAEGH (12) | -58 | 96.98 | 5-0-5 |
| 62 | ENGLAND (80) | -26 | 96.88 | 6-0-4 |
| 63 | MCDONALD (10) | -12 | 96.27 | 5-0-5 |
| 64 | PERFECT (23) | -18 | 96.23 | 5-0-5 |
| 65 | MISHKIN (11) | -15 | 95.99 | 4-0-6 |
| 66 | KNAP (5) | -23 | 95.5 | 6-0-4 |
| 67 | INGLIS (66) | -21 | 94.17 | 4-0-6 |
| 68 | HUGHES (42) | -29 | 94.07 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| 69 | WU (20) | -25 | 93.21 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| 70 | STUCKEY (55) | -14 | 93.01 | 3-0-7 |
| 71 | LUH (84) | -22 | 92.19 | 4-0-6 |
| 72 | MINCHIN (88) | -33 | 90.77 | 4-0-6 |
| 73 | HUMPHREY (38) | -48 | 90.71 | \# \# \# \# |
| 74 | JARED (86) | -63 | 90.33 | 6-0-4 |
| 75 | FLEISCHER (48) | -47 | 89.93 | 4-0-6 |
| 76 | BUCKLEY (103) | -63 | 89.6 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| 77 | RUSSELL (52) | -49 | 89.16 | 5-0-5 |
| 78 | HIGH (21) | -52 | 88.49 | 4-0-6 |
| 79 | ANDREW (45) | -66 | 88.36 | 5-0-5 |
| 80 | ORSBORN (14) | -46 | 88.3 | 4-0-6 |
| 81 | DORMER (83) | -68 | 86.96 | 4-0-6 |
| 82 | SWEETMAN (15) | -89 | 86.84 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| 83 | MACDONALD (69) | -51 | 86.36 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| 84 | COOPER (1) | -84 | 86.21 | 4-0-6 |
| 85 | HANNA (87) | -85 | 85.66 | 4-0-6 |
| 86 | ASKEW (60) | -76 | 85.35 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| 87 | NORRIS (65) | -76 | 84.67 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| 88 | HALL (64) | -64 | 82.73 | 3-0-7 |
| 89 | WILCOX (108) | -79 | 82.56 | 4-0-6 |
| 90 | POULGRAIN (94) | -98 | 82.08 | 5-0-5 |
| 91 | BOTT (51) | -80 | 81.49 | 3-0-7 |
| 92 | SMITH (25) | -86 | 81.47 | \# \# \# \# |
| 93 | BEER (99) | -84 | 80.71 | 3-0-7 |
| 94 | FERGUS (6) | -81 | 80.57 | 3-0-7 |
| 95 | RUDDELL (107) | -98 | 80.39 | 3-0-7 |
| 96 | WINTERS (95) | -74 | 79.35 | 2-0-8 |


| 97 | HOPLEY (7) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 98 | CURNOW (63) |
| 99 | BIRSS (30) |
| 100 | JOHNSTON (18) |
| 101 | DOWTHWAITE (37) |
| 102 | JORDAN (8) |
| 103 | MALTHUS (104) |
| 104 | GREEN (70) |
| 105 | SUTTON (35) |
| 106 | BAKER (22) |
| 107 | HARRIES (102) |
| 108 | BROWN (78) |


| -127 | 78.58 | \#\#\#\#\# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -110 | 77.42 | $4-0-6$ |
| -103 | 76.83 | $4-0-6$ |
| -103 | 75.85 | $3-0-7$ |
| -109 | 75.54 | $4-0-6$ |
| -111 | 72.23 | $2-0-8$ |
| -115 | 71.56 | $2-0-8$ |
| -133 | 69.36 | $2-0-8$ |
| -185 | 66.29 | $2-0-8$ |
| -162 | 61.7 | $1-0-9$ |
| -183 | 58.85 | $2-0-8$ |
| -185 | 58.03 | $2-0-8$ |



JLT - SUPPORTING SPONSOR OF NZBRIDGE PROVIDING:

- Business Insurance Solutions
- Tailored Travel Insurance
- Employee Benefits Services

Please contact JLT for further information:
JLT Auckland
P: +64 (0) 93759345
JLT Christchurch
P: +64 (0) 33631190
JLT Wellington
P: +64 (0) 44958214



Cnr Caro \& Alexandra Streets 0800 Hamilton (4264 5866)
Local knoudedge\& hiddew gems

## Retirement living and care

 at its very best!
\% Hair Salon

- Dining Room
- Pool Table

気 Scooter Bay

䔍 Terrace

- Spa Pool
$\underset{\text { T Swimming Pool }}{ }$
Y Bar
- Library
nîn Village Centre
- Bowling Green
it Gym

You can have the best of both worlds at Hilda Ross Retirement Village. Hilda Ross offers independent townhouse living, assisted living in a serviced apartment, and resthome, hospital and dementia care.

You'll love the warm and vibrant community at Hilda Ross, where life isn't about slowing down, it's about having the time to do so much more!

- Fixed weekly fee* • Deferred management fee capped at 20\% - Plus seven more guarantees!

For more information please call Trish on 078536148

30 Ruakura Road, Hamilton

